

The Gazette



of India

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

SIMLA, SATURDAY, AUGUST 2, 1952

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

PART III—SECTION 3

Notifications relating to Minor Administrations

HIMACHAL PRADESH GOVERNMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-4, the 19th July 1952

No. R.60-219/51.—Whereas it appears to the Lieutenant Governor that the land is required to be taken by the Government at public expense for a public purpose namely for the construction of a Veterinary Hospital Building at Sarahan, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the said purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provision of Section 7 of the said Act, the Collector of Sirmur District is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

The plan of the said land may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Sirmur.

Specification

District.—Sirmur.

Tehsil.—Pachhad.

Village.—Sarahan.

No. Khasra.—172, 173 and 174.

Area in Bighas.—2 Bighas and 2 Biswas.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,
Assistant Secretary (Home & Rev.)
to Govt., Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 22nd July 1952

No. (7) L.58-10/50.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 40 of the Punjab Laws Act 1872, as applied to Himachal Pradesh, the Lieut. Governor is pleased to invest Shri Amar Nath Sanitary Inspector, Municipal Committee, Chamba and Dr. Dewan Chand, M.D.H. with powers of police officers under section 34 of the Police Act 1861 in respect of the undenoted offences :—

- (a) *Throwing dirt into street.*—Any person who throws or lays down any dirt, filth, rubbish or any stores or building materials, or who constructs any cowshed, stable or the like, or who causes any offensive matter to run from any house, factory, dungheap or the like, and
 - (b) *Indecent exposure of person.*—Any person who wilfully and indecently exposes his person, or any offensive deformity or disease, or commits nuisance by easing himself, or by bathing or washing in any tank or reservoir not being a place set apart for that purpose.
2. The said Shri Amar Nath and Dr. Dewan Chand will exercise these powers for such time as they hold their present office.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,
Assistant Secretary (Home)
to the Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Industries Department

Simla-4, the 22nd July 1952

No. I&S.53-70/52.—Whereas it is necessary and expedient to constitute a Board of Conciliation for promoting the settlement of an industrial dispute between the employers and workmen of the Dyer Meakins Ltd. Distillery at Kasauli.

Now, therefore, the Himachal Pradesh Government are pleased to constitute such a Board in pursuance of section 5 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 consisting of Shri Tej Singh, District & Sessions Judge, Mahasu and Sirmur Districts at Simla, as the Chairman and the following representatives of the two parties to the dispute to be its members :—

1. Shri Gyan Chand Tutu recommended by the workmen.
2. Shri E. W. Joseph recommended by the workmen.
3. Shri T. L. Kirpalani recommended by the employers.
4. Shri R. S. Bhasin recommended by the employers.

In the event of disagreement between the representatives of the employers and the workmen on the Board the decision of the Chairman shall be final and binding on both the parties to the dispute.

The following will be the terms of reference for settlement by the Board :—

- (1) To fix a reasonable remuneration for payment to the labour for the period of the lock-out i.e., from 25th March, 1952 to 23rd May, 1952, both days inclusive;
- (2) To fix the date and period of usual seasonal closure of the Distillery and the number and kind of labour that ought to be so retrenched and re-employed, without any particular discrimination against any one, but keeping in view the past practice;
- (3) To determine the advisability or otherwise of the shifting of the process of bottling from Kasauli to Solan and to decide the question of absorbing the labour thus thrown out of work in case such transfer of the process is considered necessary. The retrenchment in the labour employed at Kasauli on this process will be done after and in accordance with the decision of the Board; and
- (4) To consider and settle the questions of the rates of wages in general and the housing of the labour and providing them medical relief and other amenities as far as possible.

The award is to be made available as soon as possible.

K. L. MEHTA,

I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of
Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 24th July 1952

No. A.88-2/50.—Addendum to Annexure 'B' to the Rules for the supply of liveries issued vide this Government

memorandum of even number dated the 3rd May, 1951.

Add the following :—

(i) At the end of Annexure 'B'

(1) Chapkan (white drill) 1 in 3 years—Rs. 6/8/-.

(2) Payjama (white drill) 2 in 3 years—Rs. 3/8/-.

(3) Choga (white long cloth) 1 in 3 years.

(ii) New rule No. 13.—Summer uniforms consisting one Chapkan, two Payjamas of white drill and one choga of white long cloth will be supplied to the Jamadars and Peons attached to the Lt. Governor, Ministers, Chief Secretary and Speaker of Himachal Pradesh, after every three years. The white long cloth is not supplied by the Director General Supplies and Disposals hence local arrangements will be made by the Himachal Pradesh Government for their supply.

FAQIR CHAND VIJH,

Registrar,

Himachal Pradesh Secretariat.

Simla-4, the 24th July 1952

No. (13)L.58-31/49.—In partial supersession of the Himachal Pradesh Government notification No. (2)L.58-31/49, dated the 24th February, 1950 and in pursuance of section 244 of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911 as extended to Himachal Pradesh the Lt. Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint Magistrate 1st Class Suket, to be the member of the Notified Area Committee Sundernagar vice Sub-Divisional Officer, Suket transferred.

By order,

PREM RAJ,

Assistant Secretary (General)
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 24th July 1952

No. (14)L.58-31/49.—In supersession of the Himachal Pradesh Government notification No. (3)L.58-31/49, dated the 24th February, 1950 and in pursuance of section 244 of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911, as extended to Himachal Pradesh the Lt. Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to appoint the Magistrate 1st Class Suket to be the President of the Notified Area Committee, Sundernagar vice Sub-Divisional Officer Suket transferred.

By order,

PREM RAJ,

Assistant Secretary General,
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 24th July 1952

No. HGT-84-117/48.—Shri G. M. Laul, A.D.M. Mandi has been granted earned leave for 45 days with effect from the 28th April, 1952.

2. This is in supersession of this Government Notification of even number, dated the 22nd May, 1952.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,

Assistant Secretary (Home) and Revenue
to the Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 24th July 1952

No. PW-43-48/51-8709.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub Section (3) of Section 1 of the United Provinces Roadside Land Central Act 1945, as extended to the State of Himachal Pradesh by Notification No. S.R.O. 504, dated the 9th March 1952, issued by the Ministry of Transport, Government of India, New Delhi (published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, dated the 22nd March 1952) the Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to enforce the said Act in the State of Himachal Pradesh with effect from 1st August 1952.

2. In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the said Act the Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh also hereby declares that 220 ft. of land on either side of the centre line of all PWD roads in Himachal Pradesh shall be 'Controlled Area' for the purpose of the Act.

By order,

G. R. NANGIA,

Secretary P.W.D.,
to the Government of Himachal Pradesh,

Simla-4, the 25th July 1952

No. (3)L.58-104/49-II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 240 of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911, as applied to Himachal Pradesh the Lt. Governor, proposes to make the following amendments to the Municipal Account Code 1930 as extended to Himachal Pradesh vide Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. (4)L.58-17/49, dated the 8th June, 1950. The draft amendments are published for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 10th day of September, 1952 together with any objections or suggestions relating thereto which may be received from any person in respect of the draft before that date :—

Modifications

Add the following item after item No. 8 in the schedule namely :—

"8-A. Delete rule V. 32 A."

By order,

PREM RAJ,

Assistant Secretary (General)
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 25th July 1952

No. HGT-72-74/50.—In continuation of Notification of even number, dated the 19th June, 1952, the Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to further extend the earned leave of Shri Gayatri Datt, Superintendent of Police, (On probation) Sirmur District, upto the 31st of July, 1952.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,

Assistant Secretary (Home)
to the Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 25th July 1952

No. R.81-18/49.—The Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order the following amendments in this Government notification of even number dated the 9th February, 1949 :—

From under schedule 'B' delete the following and insert the same under schedule 'C':—

Section 101 and 103.—Defining the limits of an estate or of any holding, field or any portion of an estate when they coincide with the limits of an estate and requiring or causing the erection of survey marks.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,

Assistant Secretary (Home)
to the Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Excise Department

Simla-4, the 26th July 1952

No. EX. 38-30/52.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (I of 1914) as applied to Himachal Pradesh, the Lieutenant Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to vest the Excise and Taxation Officer, Himachal Pradesh, with all the powers and functions of the Excise Assistant to the Financial Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as provided for in the Punjab Excise Manual as applied to this State with immediate effect.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,

Assistant Secretary (Home & Revenue)
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 26th July 1952

No. R.81-53/49.—The Financial Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, has been pleased to order the following promotions, transfers and postings of Tehsildars :—

1. Shri Hari Saran Dass, Naib Tehsildar, Chamba Settlement, is promoted and transferred as officiating Tehsildar Pachad (Sirmur District), vice Shri Gita Ram transferred to the Development Department.

2. Shri Het Ram, Naib Tehsildar on deputation with the Forest Department, is promoted and appointed as officiating Tehsildar Karsog (Mandi District), vice Shri Jaipal Singh.
3. Shri Jaipal Singh, Tehsildar Karsog (Mandi District) is transferred and posted as Tehsildar Chini (Mahasu District), vice Shri Mangat Ram.
4. Shri Mangat Ram, Tehsildar Chini (Mahasu District) is transferred and posted as Tehsildar Renka (Sirmur District), vice Shri Sohan Lal.
5. Shri Sohan Lal, Officiating Tehsildar Renka (Sirmur District) is transferred and posted as officiating Tehsildar Kasumpti (Mahasu District), vice Shri Lal Singh transferred to the Development Department.

Shri Harisaran Dass and Shri Het Ram will move first and immediately.

This notification supersedes this Government notification of even number, dated the 18th June, 1952.

By order,

MAHABIR SINGH,
Assistant Secretary (Home & Revenue)
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 26th July 1952

No. A-15-21/52.—In exercise of the powers conferred vide Section 37(6), 10(5) and 20 of the Government of Part C States Act, 1951 and in partial modification and amplification of this Government Notification No. A-15-21/52, dated the 29th April, 1952, the Lieutenant Governor with the previous approval of the President, is pleased to fix for the time being the Travelling Allowance and Daily Allowance of the Ministers and Members of Legislative Assembly, Himachal Pradesh as follows :—

1. Travelling allowance and Daily Allowance to M.L.As.—At maximum rates applicable to Class I Government Servants.
2. Daily allowance to Ministers.—At maximum rates applicable to Class I Government servants.

K. L. MEHTA, I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary
to Government, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-4, the 22nd July 1952

No. R.86-112/50.—The Lt. Governor, is pleased to order that Shri Harbans Singh Negi, Tehsildar (Revenue) be appointed District Cooperative & Supplies Officer Mahasu in the scale of Rs. 250—25—750. He will be on probation for one year.

RAM LAL,
Secretary (Cooperative & Supplies)
to Government of Himachal Pradesh.

JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S COURT HIMACHAL PRADESH

NOTIFICATION

Simla-1, the 17th July 1952

No. J.C.21(42)/49.—In exercise of the powers, conferred by the proviso to paragraph 31 of the Himachal Pradesh (Courts) Order, 1948, the Judicial Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, with the previous sanction of the Lieutenant Governor, is pleased to withdraw civil appellate powers from the Senior Sub Judge, Chamba, vested in him by Notifications of this office, No. J-1-49/48, dated 26th October, 1948, No. J-79-22/48, dated 3rd November, 1948 and No. J-79-22/48, dated the 17th January, 1949.

By order,
OM PARKASH,
Registrar,

Judicial Commissioner's Court,
Himachal Pradesh

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER, BILASPUR (SIMLA HILLS)

NOTIFICATIONS

Bilaspur, the 21st July 1952

No. Edu-21/100/52.—With the prior concurrence of the Government of India the Chief Commissioner is pleased

to convert the post of "Education Officer-cum-Headmaster, High School, Bilaspur in the grade of 250—15—400—20—500" into that of "Education Officer Bilaspur on Rs. 250—25—550/25—750".

Shri Prem Singh till now Education Officer-cum-Headmaster High School, Bilaspur shall officiate as Education Officer in the aforesaid grade of 250—25—550/25—750 with effect from 21st July 1952 until further orders.

By order,

BISHAN DAS,

Secretary

to the Chief Commissioner.

Bilaspur, the 23rd July 1952

No. Jud-8/101/52.—In supersession of this office Notification No. Jud-1/5/51-52/63 dated the 3rd June, 1952 and in exercise of powers under Section 10(1) of the Cr. P.C. 1898, Shri Bishan Das, B.A., LL.B., B.T., Secretary to the Chief Commissioner and a Magistrate of 1st Class is appointed to be the District Magistrate Bilaspur w.e.f. from 22nd July, 1952 until further orders.

No. Jud-8/102/52.—In supersession of this office Notification No. Jud-1/5/51-52/64 dated the 3rd June 1952 and in exercise of the powers under Section 6(2) of the Punjab Land Revenue Act 1887 read with the Bilaspur (Application of Laws) Order 1949 Shri Bishan Das, B.A., LL.B., B.T., Secretary to the Chief Commissioner is appointed to be the Collector, Bilaspur State with effect from 22nd July, 1952 and until further orders.

SHRICHAND CHHABRA,
Chief Commissioner.

Bilaspur, the 23rd July 1952

No. 110.—Shri Kuljas Rai, M.A., B.T., a temporary Lecturer of the Government Intermediate College Bilaspur is appointed temporarily as Principal of the said College with immediate effect and until further orders.

For the period he holds charge as the Principal Shri Kuljas Rai shall draw a salary of Rs. 250/- p.m.

By order,

BISHAN DAS,

Secretary

to the Chief Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER, BILASPUR, (SIMLA HILLS)

NOTICE

Bilaspur, the 21st July 1952

Form I

(Rule 5)

No. ELEC-15/51.—For the purpose of annual preparation of the Electoral Rolls for the Parliamentary Constituency in the State of Bilaspur every person who is a member of the Armed Forces of the Union or is holding any office in India declared by the President to be an office to which the provisions of sub-section (4) of Section 20 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (XLIII of 1950) apply or is employed under the Government of India in a post outside India and who desires to be registered in the electoral roll of the Constituency (electoral unit) in Bilaspur State in view of the provisions contained in sub-section (3) or sub-section (4) of the said section, or whose wife desires to be registered in the electoral roll of the said Constituency (electoral unit) in view of the provisions contained in sub-section (6) of the said section, is invited to submit a statement in Form II through the appropriate officer so as to reach the Electoral Registration Officer of the said Constituency (electoral unit) not later than the 30th July 1952.

Note.—Copies of Form II will be supplied free by the Electoral Registration Officer of the Constituency (electoral unit) concerned on application.

The Registration of the People Act, 1950

Section 20(3) A member of the Armed Forces of the Union while living in any barrack, building or place belonging to, or provided by, the Government shall not be deemed to be ordinarily resident in the constituency within which such barrack, building or place is situate, but shall be deemed to be ordinarily resident during any

be with reference to the context of Regulations 94 to 98 of the Indian Boiler Regulations, 1950.

Definitions

The definition of all terminology used in these rules shall be as defined in the Indian Boilers Act, 1923 and the Indian Boiler Regulations, 1950.

The term "qualified welding operator" shall mean a person who has passed the test described in these rules.

"Testing Authority" means the Chief Inspector of Boilers or a person or persons approved by the Chief Inspector.

General

No repairs by welding of any kind shall be undertaken by any person without the previous sanction in writing of the Chief Inspector of Boilers.

Age and Training of Candidates for Qualified Welding Operator Tests

No person who wishes to qualify as a welding Operator shall be below the age of 19 years.

(1) He shall produce to the satisfaction of the Testing Authority that he had been employed as an apprentice welder in a workshop or firm where repairs of pressure vessels by welding are undertaken for an aggregate period of at least two years, the whole period having been spent in acquiring practical experience.

(2) Understands the principles involved in the theory of welding by the oxy-acetylene and/or electric arc as processes, as is familiar with the various forms of welding, the reinforcement necessary for fillet welding, the support essential when carrying out extensive welding of flat or circular objects, is able to appreciate the stresses induced as a result of its application, understands the necessity for avoidance of slag inclusions, is able to regulate the medium of welding according to the needs of the occasion, and understands defects arising out of improper technique or material.

(3) Possesses or is able to produce a medical certificate of fitness and good eyesight.

Tests to be Prescribed by Testing Authority

In addition to any test, the Testing Authority may demand, the following minimum tests shall be undergone.

(i) Three separate specimen test pieces each in two halves shall be prepared from ordinary 5/8" thick boiler quality plate 12"×9" (formed of two pieces each 6"×9") and the edges of each piece prepared for welding along the minor dimension of each specimen. The preparation for welding shall be such that when the two sections are brought into position, a welding groove 70° to 75° shall be formed.

(ii) One of the above three separate sets of test pieces must be welded :

- (a) In the horizontal position as laid flat on the works table;
- (b) One in the vertical position with the specimen laid edge-wise and welding groove being vertical;
- (c) One with the specimen laid so as to obtain overhead welding.

The three welding positions are shown in sketches C, C1 and C2.

(3) The operator shall be called upon to decide the quality and grade of electrode or welding wire he proposes to use and the number of runs to be made. The Testing Authority shall take due notice of the operator's choice at this stage for the final assessment of his work. He shall also take note of the operator's manipulation of all controls, such as, voltage, ramperage, striking of arc, gas pressure, and its steadiness etc., for the same purpose.

(4) The specimens should on completion be scribed across the line of weld for the various sections to be removed and each section stamped with the operator's initials, Serial Number, and date of test and stamp by the Testing Authority.

(5) Visual Examination.—The Testing Authority shall assess the operator's work for the following :—

Undercutting, blow-holes evenness and dimension of deposit, slagtrap, penetration, bead etc.

(6) The Testing Authority shall carry out the following tests on the operator's test specimens in order to assess his work, removing and discarding strips about 1/2" wide from each end of the weld and at right angles to it.

(i) Reduced Section Tension Test

A strip 1½" wide shall be removed from each specimen and dressed as shown in Sketch A. The Correct measurements of the reduced portion shall be recorded and the specimen subjected to a rupture test under a tensile load. The tensile strength of the reduced section shall be not less than that of the base material used.

(ii) Bend Test

(1) A strip 1½" wide shall be removed from each specimen and the edges smoothly dressed. The strip shall then be subjected to a face bend test, notwithstanding being bent through 120° around a former having a width 4 times the thickness of the specimen, with the face of the weld in tension in a hydraulic or other such machine.

(2) A strip 1½" wide shall be removed from each specimen and the edges smoothly dressed. The strip shall then be subjected to a root bend test, notwithstanding being bent through 120° around a former having a width 4 times the thickness of the specimen with the root of the weld in tension in a hydraulic or other such machine. A suitable former is shown in Sketch B.

In each of the two tests (1) and (2) above, the test specimen should not show signs of fracture. In the event of failure commencing at 120° or before, the fracture should be completed and examined for the following factors :—

(a) Degree of fusion

Fusion should be complete over the whole area of the joint surfaces.

The fracture should be inspected for lack of fusion. This may occur at the surfaces to be joined, and/or between layers of weld metal. Lack of fusion at the joint surfaces is indicated by the retention of the original form and surface markings; between layers of weld metal it will appear as lines between the layers.

(b) Degree of root penetrations. butt and fillet welds

Defects are most likely to occur at the root of the weld and in this position they are liable to have the maximum effect in reducing the strength of the weld. A close examination of the root should, therefore, be made. In Vee butt welds the first run should normally penetrate right to the underside of the plates, producing a penetration bead of the size required. In normal fillet welds with good root penetration the weld metal should reach the corner.

(c) Non-metallic (slag) inclusions and gas cavities

Non-metallic inclusions may consist of matter from the rod and flux used or from the electrode. Unless they are caused by use of unsuitable materials, they are attributable to the quality of workmanship, the desired result being to achieve a uniform appearance and freedom from cavities.

(d) Quality of Weld metal

Inferior quality of weld metal may be caused by incorrect welding technique. In metal arc welding the defects will generally be due to excessive arc length or speed or incorrect current. The fracture will not possess its customary clean appearance but will reveal voids, oxide films and slag inclusions. In gas welding faults may occur due to excessive oxidation, carburisation and overheating. If present to a considerable extent, they may result in a rough, porous, discoloured and lustreless appearance in the fracture.

Awarding of Marks

Three hundred marks shall be awarded for the three completed test specimens, 100 being awarded for each test specimen, in following manner.

Item.

1. Selection of correct size and grade of electrode or welding wire, number of runs made and manipulation of controls	10
2. Results of visual examination and technique during welding	20
3. Reduced section tension test	30
4. Face bend test	20
5. Root bend test	20
		100

To secure qualification, an operator must obtain a minimum of 75 per cent. of marks.

Reappearance for test in case of failure

The Testing Authority shall decide on what subsequent date a candidate who has failed shall reappear for further testing.

Validity of qualification

An operator who has qualified himself under these test shall be deemed to remain qualified for a period of two years on the expiry of which he shall be required to submit himself to a retest. In the case of qualified operator who, during the validity of the qualifications, remains unemployed or for any reason is absent from regular welding work for a period of more than six months such operator shall be called upon to submit himself to a retest. Any qualified operator who, in the course of a check of his work by a Chief Inspector of Boilers or Inspector of Boilers, is found to have disregarded accepted technique may be asked by such officer to submit himself to a retest.

Application for submission to test

Applications for submission to test as a qualified Welding Operator shall initially be made to the Chief Inspector of Boilers, of the State in the prescribed form at Appendix (C) accompanied by a Treasury Challan for the appropriate fee.

On receipt of the application from the Chief Inspector of Boilers, the Testing Authority shall fix a convenient date and intimate same to the candidate. On the said date, the candidate shall be tested as regards his knowledge and experience of the particular form of welding for which he wishes to qualify himself. The result of the test will be conveyed to the candidate and if he has secured qualifying marks the candidate will be provided with a certificate as in Appendix (D).

Fees for test

The prescribed fee for the test shall be Rs. 25 and will be payable in a Government Treasury in the State where the candidate is employed.

APPENDIX (C)

Form of Application

Dear Sir,

I beg to apply for test as a Qualified Welding Operator and submit below details as required. Testimonials of my experience supported by my employer together with one copy of each are sent herewith.

2. Two copies of my photograph in passport size are enclosed.

Yours faithfully,

(Applicant)

- (1) Full name of applicant.
(in block letters):
- (2) Age of Applicant.
- (3) Nationality.
- (4) Permanent Address.
- (5) Details of Applicant's service as Welder.

Full details together with exact periods for which the candidate was employed and capacity in which employed must be given. Each such statement must be supported by Applicant's employer or employers).

- (6) Details of previous test at which candidate has appeared and failed.

(Candidate must state name of Testing Authority before whom he appeared and on which count he was declared failed).

I desire to be tested in the Electric Arc/Oxy-acetylene process of Welding.

Date.

Signature of Applicant

Forwarded to..... for favour of subjecting Shri..... the applicant to the prescribed Test and communicating the same to the undersigned. The Applicant may be informed of the date and a place of Test directly at the address mentioned under copy to the undersigned.

Chief Inspector of Boilers,

APPENDIX (D)

Form of certificate to be granted under Rule..... of..... Rules for the Qualification Tests of Welding Operators

Certificate No..... Date.....

Photograph.

Shri aged about son of having satisfied the Testing Authority of his competency to be a Welding Operator in accordance with the rules in force, is hereby granted a certificate of having passed the test prescribed for Electric Arc/Oxy-Acetylene Welding.

Signature or Thumb impression.

This certificate shall be in force for two years from date of issue.

Date

Place TESTING AUTHORITY

This certificate shall be in force

From..... to

Renewals (1) From..... to

Renewals (2) From..... to

Renewals (3) From..... to

Rules to be observed by Qualified Welding Operators in the repairs to boilers

(a) These rules are intended for the welding of low carbon steel only and no attempt is to be made by a Qualified Welding Operator to weld any part of boiler which is made of Alloy steels.

(b) The Chief Inspector of Boilers or Inspector of Boilers may at any time during the currency of a Qualified Welding Operators certificate call upon him to demonstrate a test.

(c) No operator shall handle any repairs to a boiler without the previous permission in writing of the Chief Inspector of Boilers.

(d) All slag or flux resulting from a bead or run of successive first welds shall be removed before the next run is made and no undercutting of the base material shall be permitted.


(e) No welding shall be done on any part of a boiler which is in tension. Corroded areas of shells and other, flat plates, crowns of vertical boilers and similar other parts may be welded and built to original thickness provided such single area does not exceed the area which if cut out, would require compensation under the Indian Boiler Regulations, 1950.

(f) No reinforcement of wasted rivet heads or stays bolts or nuts is permissible.


(g) All welding done, shall conform to existing contours and there shall be no break in section at any place. All welding done shall, where practicable, be dressed to reasonably smooth surface by grinding and no welding shall be done in order to hide a defect.

..... State.

SKETCH A






PREPARATION FOR REDUCED SECTION
TENSION TEST

SKETCH BPOSITION OF SPECIMENFOR BEND TEST

t = THICKNESS OF FLAT PLATE
OR DIA. OF ROUND BAR

FOR DIMENSION D , SEE DESCRIPTION
OF TESTS.

SKETCH CSKETCH C₁SKETCH C₂

THREE FORMS OF SPECIMENS TO BE PREPARED
FOR "QUALIFIED WELDING OPERATION" TEST.

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

No. F.1(28)/52-LSG.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-Section (6) of Section 59 of the United Provinces Town Improvement Act 1919 as extended to the State of Delhi the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, is pleased to appoint Shri Des Raj Pahwa, 2nd Additional District and Sessions Judge, Delhi, to be the President of the Tribunal constituted under section 57 of the said Act in place of Shri Shamsher Singh Dulat, I.C.S.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,

Secretary to Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department) Delhi.

Delhi, the 22nd July, 1952

No. F.2(30)/51-R&J/Judicial.—Chief Commissioner's Notification No. F.2(30)/51-R&J., dated the 31st January, 1952, regarding the appointment of Shri Tilak Raj Dhawan, B.A., LL.B., of the Central Enforcement Directorate, Government of India, as a Special Public Prosecutor is hereby cancelled.

By order,

M. L. VIJH,

Secretary (Judicial & Legislative)
to Delhi State Government, Delhi.

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

No. F.2(82)/52-R&J-Home.—Under the provisions of Section 14 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1898, the Chief Commissioner of Delhi is pleased to confer on Shri Uttam Singh, the powers of Magistrate of the first class in regard to cases generally within the local limits of the Delhi State for a further period of one year with effect from the 4th August 1952.

No. F.2(83)/52-R&J-Home.—Under the provisions of Section 14 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1898, the Chief Commissioner of Delhi is pleased to confer on Shri Bankey Lal, all the powers conferred by the said Code on a Magistrate of the second class in regard to cases generally within the local limits of the Delhi State for a further period of one year with effect from the 8th August, 1952.

Y. N. VARMA,

Home Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
Delhi.

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

No. F.6(22)/52-CS(i).—Shri Sunder Lal Bhargava, Assistant Director of Civil Supplies (General), Delhi, has been appointed as Assistant Director of Civil Supplies (Textiles) in the Commodity Control Organisation, Delhi, with effect from the forenoon of the 10th July 1952.

By order,

L. J. JOHNSON,

Chief Secretary to Government,
Delhi State.

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

No. F.6(22)/52-CS(ii).—Shri Sardari Lal Bharadwaj Assistant Director of Civil Supplies (Textiles), Delhi has been appointed as Assistant Director of Civil Supplies (General), in the Commodity Control Organisation, Delhi with effect from the forenoon of the 10th July 1952.

By order,

L. J. JOHNSON,

Chief Secretary to Government,
Delhi State.

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

No. F.12(135)/50-MT&CE.—The following draft of rules which the Chief Commissioner proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 70 (8) (b) of the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, is published for information of persons likely to be effected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 4th September 1952 together with any objections or suggestions which may be received before that date.

Draft Rules

For sub-rule (4) of rule 5.32 of the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940, substitute the following new rule—

"Notwithstanding the provisions contained in sub-rule (1) in the case of school buses used for the carriage of students, seating space of not less than 12" sq. measured on straight lines along and at right angles to the front of each seat, shall be provided and seats shall be placed across the vehicles facing the front except on the rear mudguard which may be alongside the vehicle and there shall be every where a clear space of not less than 21 $\frac{1}{2}$ " between the backs of the seats. The maximum seating capacity of the vehicle shall be determined according to these specifications.

If the seats in a school bus are provided in accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (1), 33 per cent. extra students shall be allowed over and above the number determined in accordance therewith.

Standing students shall not be allowed".

K. K. SHARMA,

Secretary to Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Govt. Deptt.), Delhi.

Delhi, the 23rd July 1952

No. F.1(36)/50-L.S.G.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 54-A of the United Provinces Town Improvement Act (VIII of 1919), as extended to the State of Delhi, it is hereby notified that the Delhi Improvement Trust have transferred to the administrative control of the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, the land described in the schedule below.

2. The Chief Commissioner, Delhi, is pleased to place all the land mentioned in the Schedule at the disposal of the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply for onward transmission to the Ministry of Railways for the purpose of providing additional goods facilities and shifting the goods yard from its present site at the Nizamuddin Railway Station.

Schedule

Land measuring .32 acres near Nizamuddin Railway Station in Inderpat Estate bearing Khasra Nos. 601 min. and 602 min.

North.—Nazul land.

South.—Nazul land.

East.—Nazul land.

West.—Railway land.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

Delhi, the 23rd July 1952

No. F.2(87)/52-R&J-Home.—Shri Om Gopal Adya, Punjab Civil Service assumed charge of the Office of Magistrate 1st Class, Delhi with effect from the forenoon of the 15th July 1952, relieving Shri Aziz Shafi U.P.C.S. (retired).

No. F.2(87)/52-R&J-Home.—Under the provisions of Section 12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1898, Shri Om Gopal Adya, is appointed a Magistrate of the 1st Class in the Delhi District.

Y. N. VARMA,

Home Secretary to Delhi State Government, Delhi.

Delhi, the 23rd July 1952

No. F.7(221)/51-MT&CE.—Dr. Jagdish Prakash Issar assumed charge of the post of Civil Assistant Surgeon grade I (gazetted), Mobile Dispensary, Delhi State, on the forenoon of the 26th June 1952.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

No. F.5(1)/52-R&J/CS.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Punjab Wild Birds and Wild Animals Protection Act, 1933, as extended to the Delhi State, the Chief Commissioner of Delhi is pleased to direct that the following amendments shall be made in the Delhi Wild Birds and Wild Animals Protection Rules, which were notified vide his Notification No. F.32(2)/46-Home, dated the 23rd July, 1946 :—

Amendments

(1) For Rule 29 the following shall be substituted namely :—

" 29. (a) A shooting licence shall be issued on payment of a fee of Rs. 15 in cash.

(b) It shall be valid for the Delhi State and the Punjab, except forest areas under the control of the Forest Department".

(2) For Sub-rule (a) of rule 30, the following shall be substituted namely :—

" (a) In addition to the birds mentioned in schedule III of the Act and hares, the holder of a licence shall be entitled to shoot those animals also which are mentioned in rule 9 and for which a special licence is not required. This provision will still be subject to the rules in force and to the limits laid down in column 5 of rule 9.

Provided that the fee for a licence to shoot Urial shall be—

(i) Rs. 10 for a licence valid for 7 days only to shoot not more than two males.

(ii) Rs. 20 for a licence, valid for 10 days only, to shoot not more than four males".

By order,

L. J. JOHNSON,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Delhi State.

Delhi, the 23rd July 1952

No. F.15(17)/52-MT&CE.—Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at the Public expense for a public purpose, namely, for Bus Stand, Opposite Police Post, it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This Notification is made, under the provision of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Chief Commissioner is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Collector of Delhi.

Specification

Village.—Mehrauli.

Total area.—10207 Sq. Ft.

Field Numbers or Boundaries.—N. Main Road.

E. Houses of Nana and Rahmatullah sons of Hussaini and Chuni son of Nabu.

S. Houses of Chunu son of Bhula and Ramzan son of Kalu.

W. House of Karam Illahi son of Kalu and shops of Masjid.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to Delhi State Government,
Delhi.

Delhi, the 24th July 1952

No. F.1(88)/49-LSG-I.—In pursuance of the provisions of Sub-Section (2) of Section 54-A of the United Provinces Town Improvement Act, VIII of 1919, as extended to the State of Delhi, it is hereby notified that the Delhi Improvement Trust have transferred to the administrative control of the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, the land described in the schedule below.

land mentioned in the Schedule is held by the Central Public Works Department for the development of Mahatma Gandhi's Smadhi.

Schedule

Bela Estate

Land represented by Khasra No. 114; 115; 116 (Min); 118; 119 (Min); 120; 121; 124 (Min); 125; 126 (Min); 127; 128 measuring 145.06 acres.

North :—Pushta, P.W.D.

South :—Samadhi, Mahatma Gandhi.

East :—Pushta, P.W.D.

West :—Bela Road.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

Delhi, the 24th July 1952

No. F.1(88)/49-LSG-II.—In pursuance of the provisions of Sub-Section (2) of Section 54-A of the United Provinces Town Improvement Act (VIII of 1919) as extended to the State of Delhi, it is hereby notified that the Delhi Improvement Trust have transferred to the administrative control of the Chief Commissioner, Delhi the land described in the schedule below.

2. The Chief Commissioner, Delhi is pleased to place all the land mentioned in the Schedule at the disposal of the Land & Development Officer, New Delhi, for allotment to various Institutions.

Schedule

Land measuring 41.5 acres in Inderpat Estate represented by Khasra Nos. :

277-min, 277/1, 278, 278/1, 279/285, 287, 288-min, 290-min, 290/1-min, 291-292-min, 416-min, 417-min, 418-min, 419-421, 422-min, 423-425, 431-min, 445, 449-min, 450-min, 452-min and bounded as follow :

North :—Proposed Link Road.

South :—Government land.

East :—Government land and Proposed National Highway.

West :—Government land.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 12(54)/52-MT&CE.—The following draft of rule which the Chief Commissioner, Delhi proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 21 & 41 of the Motor Vehicles Act 1939 read with the notification of the Government of India, in the late Department of Communications, No. R. 60, dated the 28th June 1939 is published for the information of the persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 10th September, 1952 together with any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to it before the date specified.

Draft Rule

Notwithstanding anything contained in the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940, no fee shall be charged from the Minister of Finland in India and other diplomatic officers of the Legation in India for the issue or renewal of a licence to drive a motor vehicle or for undergoing a test of competency to drive, nor shall any fee be charged for the issue or alteration of certificates of registration relating to the official motor vehicles of the Finnish Legation in India or the private vehicles of the diplomatic officers of the said Legation, and any such fee as aforesaid already paid, shall on application by the payer be refunded to him.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

22
Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 12(70)/52-MT&CE.—The following draft of rule which the Chief Commissioner Delhi proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 21 and 41 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, read with the notification of the Government of India in the late Department of Communications No. R-60, dated the 28th June 1939 is published for the information of the persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th September 1952 together with any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to it before that date.

Draft Rule

Notwithstanding anything contained in the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules, 1940, no fee shall be charged from the Ambassador of Mexico in India and other diplomatic officers of the Mexican Embassy in India for the issue or renewal of a licence to drive a motor vehicle or for undergoing a test of competency to drive, nor shall any fee be charged for the issue or alteration of certificates of registration relating to the officials motor vehicles of the Mexican Embassy in India or the private vehicles of the diplomatic officers of the said Embassy; and any such fee as aforesaid already paid shall, on application by the payer, be refunded to him.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,

*Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.*

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 12(94)/52-MT&CE.—The following draft amendment which the Chief Commissioner proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 133 A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 read with the notification No. R-60, dated the 28th June 1939 of the Government of India in the late Department of Communications is published for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 10th September 1952 together with any objections or suggestions which may be received before that date.

Draft Amendment

Add the following as sub rule (1) to rule 9.1 of the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules 1940 and re-number the existing rule 9.1 as sub-rule (2) of the said rule :—

1(a) The State Motor Transport Controller shall be under the control of the State Government and he shall exercise all the powers of a Police Officer of the rank of Superintendent of Police under the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

(b) The Motor Licensing Officer shall be under the control of the State Motor Transport Controller and he shall exercise all the powers of a Police Officer of the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police under the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

(c) The technical Inspector shall be under the control of the State Motor Transport Controller and he shall exercise all the powers of a Police Officer of the rank of Inspector of Police under the Indian Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,

*Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.*

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 12(189)/51-MT&CE(I).—The following draft amendment which the Chief Commissioner, Delhi proposes to make in the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules 1940 in exercise of his powers conferred by section 68 (2a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 is published for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 10th September 1952 together with any objections or suggestions which may be received in respect of it before that date.

Amendments

“For clause (17) of Rule 4.42 of the Delhi Motor Vehicles Rules 1940, substitute the following namely :—

4.42(17)

No conductor's licence shall be granted by a Licensing Authority until the applicant produces a Medical Certificate in Form F-A to show that he is qualified to use a first-aid-box.

If any person duly authorised immediately before the date of this notification as conductor of a public service vehicle fails, upto 31st December 1951 to produce a Medicile Certificate mentioned above he shall be liable to be declared disqualified.

Every conductor shall go through refresher course after every two years and obtain a certificate of efficiency either from the St. John Ambulance Association, New Delhi or from a registered medical practitioner authorised in this behalf by the Delhi State Government. This certificate shall be submitted with the applications for renewal of conductors licence every 2 years.

This Secretariate notification No. F-12(152)/49-MLT, dated 23rd November 1949 is hereby cancelled.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
*Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.*

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 15(10)/52-MT&CE.—Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely, for the erection of a 220.K.V., Electric Grid Sub-Station at Shakurpur (Delhi) by the Punjab Government, it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This Notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, to all whom it may concern

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Chief Commissioner of Delhi is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Collector of Delhi.

Specification

Village.—Shakurpur (Delhi State).

Total Area.—4.33 Acres.

Field Numbers or Boundaries.—1187, 1189, 1190, 1191, 1192, 1193, 1194, 1195, 1196 part, 1197 part.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
*Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.*

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 19(10)/52-GA&R.—The following draft of amendments which Chief Commissioner Delhi proposes to make in the Delhi Sales Tax Rules, 1951, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 26 of the Bengal Finance (Sales Tax) Act, 1941, as extended to the State of Delhi is published for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby. The draft shall be taken into consideration on or after 16th August 1952 together with any objection or suggestions which may be received by the Chief Commissioner from any person before the date specified.

Amendments

Rule 6(1) clause (c) (ii).

For the existing entries

Read “for use as raw materials in the manufacture of goods for sale”.

Rule 12(1).

Delete the words and brackets made in sub-rule (1) of rule 13.

Rule 13.

Delete sub-rule (1) and re-number sub-rule (2) as Rule 13.

Rule 14.

Delete sub-rule (1) and for sub-rule (2) substitute the following as Rule 14.

“As soon as may be after the cancellation the assessing authority shall make the necessary entry in registers in form S.T.V., S.T.VI.”

Rule 23.

For the words "furnish returns quarterly or annually under these rules" the words "file returns quarterly or annually under these rules and vice-versa" shall be substituted.

Rule 26.

For the words "for use in manufacture or for re-sale or for use by him in the execution of a contract for any two or all such purposes", the words "for use as raw material in manufacture or for re-sale or for use by him in the execution of a contract or any two or all such purposes" shall be substituted.

Rule 29.

For the existing entries the following shall be substituted and shall be deemed always to have been substituted namely :—

In calculating his taxable turnover, a registered dealer may deduct from his gross turnover—

- (i) the sale of paludrine supplied by the Chief Commissioner.
- (ii) the sale of goods to such foreign and Commonwealth Diplomatic Missions and Offices of the United Nations and specialised agencies of the United Nations, etc., to the foreign personnel of such missions, offices and agencies as may from time to time be specified by the Chief Commissioner.

Provided that the dealer claiming exemption on this account produces—

- (1) a certificate duly sealed and authenticated in the following form "Certified that the goods covered by the voucher/vouchers mentioned below were purchased
 - (a) for the official use of the Embassy/High Commission/Legation of
 - (b) for the personal use of the ambassador/High Commissioner/Head of the Mission of

Rule 32(b).

For the words "Ordinarily not less than 10 days after the date of the service of the notice" the words "giving reasonable time" shall be substituted.

Rule 41.

For the words "produced in proof of payment of tax by the dealer" the words "obtained from the appropriate Government Treasury showing the amount paid by a dealer" shall be substituted.

Rule 57.

For the words "the Inspecting Officer" substitute "any Officer appointed under Section 3(a)".

Rule 62.

After clause (b) of Sub-rule (1) add the following as clauses (c) and (d) and re-number clause (c) as clause (e).

(c) Period of assessment to which the appeal relates.

(d) Date of service of demand notice and

Rule 69.

Delete Rule 69 and re-number rules 70 to 88 as 69 to 87 respectively.

Rule 70.

For the words "orders forms the subject matter of the appeal for revision proceedings" the words "order forms the subject matter of appeal or revision proceedings" shall be substituted.

Rule 72.

In Sub-rule (2) for word "or" read "of".

Rule 85.

In the heading of the rule for the words "head of office" the words "head office" shall be substituted.

Rule 86 (1) (c).

For the words "issued and served by and on the person" the words "issued to and served on the person" shall be substituted.

By order,

Y. N. VARMA,

Home Secretary to the Delhi State Government,

Delhi.

Delhi, the 25th July 1952

No. F. 20(72)/52-MT&CE.—Dr. B. L. Bhattacharjee, Assistant Surgeon (Anaesthesia), Irwin Hospital, New

Delhi, was granted thirty one days earned leave with effect from the forenoon of the 9th June, 1952. He is likely to return to the same post after the expiry of his leave.

By order,

K. K. SHARMA,
Secretary to the Delhi State Government,
(Local Self Government Department), Delhi.

Delhi, the 15th July 1952

No. F. 90/52-C&C.—The fortnightly report of the wholesale and retail prices of important commodities prevailing in the Delhi markets in respect of the fortnight ending 30th June, 1952 is published for the information of the public.

By order,

L. J. JOHNSON,
Chief Secretary
to the Delhi State Government.

FORTNIGHTLY RETURN OF RETAIL PRICES PREVAILING IN DELHI MARKET ON THE LAST DAY OF FORTNIGHT ENDING THE 30TH JUNE, 1952

Note :—(1) Prices are in seers and chhataks (of 80 & 5 tolas) respectively per rupee unless otherwise stated. When quality is specified fair average quality is implied.

(2) Abbreviations :—N.Q.—Not Quoted, (ii) 'C' Controlled Price.

Commodities	Variety/ Quality	Unit	Rates	Remarks
I. Cereals—			Rs. a. p.	
1. Rice ..	Thiland ..	Pearar. ..	0 12 6 '0	
2. Wheat ..	Punjab/Fopsu ..	Do. ..	0 8 0 'C'	
3. Wheat Flour (atta)	Do. ..	0 7 0 'C'	
4. Bajra	Do. ..	0 5 0 'C'	
5. Barley	Do. ..	0 5 0 'C'	
6. Maize	Do. ..	0 3 41 'C'	
7. Subsidiary food crops—				
(a) Sweet Potatoes	Do. ..	Not available.	
(b) Popoca dry	Do. ..	0 11 0 to ..	
			0 13 0	
II. Pulses—				
1. Gram ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 7 3	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 9 0	
2. Arhar ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 6 0 to ..	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 7 0 to ..	
Desi	Do. ..	0 7 6 to ..	
Cawnpuri	Split without skin.	0 9 6 to ..	
	Do. ..	0 10 0 to ..		
3. Mung ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 11 0 to ..	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 12 0 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 12 0 to ..	
4. Urd Black ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 13 0 to ..	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 19 0 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 11 0 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 12 0 to ..	
5. Urd Green ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 13 0 to ..	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 12 6 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 13 0 to ..	
6. Massur ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 14 0 to ..	
	Split without skin.	Do. ..	0 7 0 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 8 0 to ..	
7. Other Pulses—				
(a) Moth ..	Whole ..	Do. ..	0 7 0 to ..	
	Split with skin.	Do. ..	0 8 0 to ..	
(b) Gram ..	Kabli ..	Do. ..	0 7 0 to ..	
	Red ..	Do. ..	0 8 6 to ..	
(c) Rajma ..	Red ..	Do. ..	0 9 0 to ..	
		..	1 1 0	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
IV. Oils, Oilseeds & Oilcakes—									Rs. A. P.
1. Groundnut oil	Per mrd.	50 8 0 to 52 0 0			1. Country Desi .. Leaf		Do.	N. Q.	
2. Groundnut	Unshelled	Do.	11 0 0 to 15 0 0		VII. *Livestock—				
3. Groundnut oilcakes	Shelled	Do.	22 0 0 Do. 6 8 0		1. Milch Cattle—				
4. Mustard oil	Kachhi ghani	Do.	44 0 0 to 46 0 0		(a) Cow .. 1st class	Per head	300 0 0		
	Packki ghani	Do.	41 0 0 to 43 0 0		3rd lactation.				
5. Mustard Cakes .. Dali		Do.	8 8 0		(b) Puffaloes .. 1st class	Do.	150 0 0		
6. Rapeseed (Sarsoan) .. Papri		Do.	8 0 0 18 0 0 to 29 0 0		2nd class	Do.	500 0 0		
7. Castor oil		Do.	43 0 0 to 45 0 0		2. Work Cattle—				
8. Linseed		Do.	20 0 0 to 28 0 0		(a) Bullocks .. 1st class	Do.	500 0 0		
9. Linseed oil		Do.	45 0 0 to 47 0 0		2nd class	Do.	200 0 0		
10. Coconut oil	Refined	Do.	80 0 0 to 85 0 0		(b) Buff. Bulls .. 1st class	Do.	N.Q.		
	Unrefined	Do.	72 0 0 to 74 0 0		2nd class	Do.	N.Q.		
11. Coconut	Green	per 100	30 0 0 Dry	Per mrd.	3. Sheep ..	Per score	550 0 0		
			64 0 0 to 88 0 0		to 900 0 0				
12. Other Oilseeds—					4. Goats ..	Do.	650 0 0		
(a) Til	White	Do.	27 8 0 to 39 8 0		to 1,100 0 0				
	Black	Do.	24 0 0 to 25 0 0						
13. Vegetable oil .. Ganesh No. 1	Per tin 36 lbs.	33 0 0			VIII. Livestock Products—				
	Rathi	Do.	32 0 0		1. Wool .. Black	Per mrd.	60 0 0		
14. Other Oils—		Per mrd.	58 0 0 to 62 0 0		Geeja ..	Do.	85 0 0		
(a) Til Oil		Do.	55 0 0 to 57 0 0		White	Do.	50 0 0		
(b) Mohva Oil		Do.			to 125 0 0				
						to 130 0 0			
V. Fruits, Vegetables & Nuts—					2. Skins—				
1. Mangoes	Totapuri	Do.	16 0 0 to 22 0 0		(a) Goat skin raw .. 1st quality	Per 100	225 0 0		
	Saroli	Do.	30 0 0 to 40 0 0		2nd qly.	Do.	150 0 0		
	Desari	Do.	40 0 0 to 48 0 0		(b) Goat skin tanned .. 1st qly.	Do.	225 0 0		
	Langra	Do.	30 0 0 to 40 0 0		2nd qly.	Do.	150 0 0		
	Sufia	Do.	20 0 0 to 24 0 0		(c) Sheep skin Raw with wool .. 1st qly.	Do.	250 0 0		
	Desi	Do.	12 0 0 to 20 0 0		2nd qly.	Do.	150 0 0		
2. Plantain	Bombay	Per doz.	0 10 0 to 1 0 0		(d) Sheep skin tanned .. 1st qly.	Do.	150 0 0		
3. Oranges	Nagpuri	Do.	N. Q.		2nd qly.	Do.	125 0 0		
4. Raisins		Per mrd.	60 0 0 to 90 0 0		3. Hides—				
5. Dates		Do.	Not available.		(a) Cow hide raw .. 1st qly.	Per mrd.	Not available.		
Vegetables—					2nd qly.	Do.	Not available.		
1. Potatoes	Hill Desi	Per mraund	Not available.		(b) Cow hide tanned .. 1st qly.	Do.	80 0 0		
		Do.	9 0 0 to 14 0 0		2nd qly.	Do.	70 0 0		
2. Onion	Green	Do.	1 8 0 to 2 8 0		(c) Buff. hide raw .. 1st qly.	Each	25 0 0		
	Dry	Do.	4 0 0 to 4 8 0		2nd qly.	Do.	20 0 0		
3. Peas dry	Simla	Do.	48 0 0 to 56 0 0		(d) Buff. hide tanned .. 1st qly.	Do.	70 0 0		
	Desi	Do.	Not available.		2nd qly.	Do.	65 0 0		
4. Arrvies		Do.	12 0 0 to 16 0 0		4. Ghee .. Ag-mark	Do.	225 0 0		
5. Tomatoes		Do.	24 0 0 to 28 0 0		Mahosh .. Ungraded	Do.	205 0 0		
6. Pumpkin (Karebiphal)		Do.	4 0 0 to 5 0 0		to 210 0 0				
7. Bottle Gourd (Ghla)		Do.	8 0 0 to 10 0 0		IX. Fodder—				
1. Cashew Nuts		Do.	140 0 0		1. Bran ..	Do.	1 8 0		
2. Almonds		Do.	64 0 0 to 220 0 0		to 1 12 0				
3. Walnuts		Do.	Not available.		2. Straw .. Wheat	Do.	4 8 0		
					Mixed	Do.	6 0 0		
					3. Stalks dry .. Jowar	Do.	4 4 0		
					to 6 0 0				
					4. Condiments & Spices—				
					1. Turmeric .. Bombay	Do.	22 0 0		
					to 26 8 0				
					2. Chillies dry .. Desi	Do.	30 0 0		
					to 85 0 0				
					3. Tamarind .. Seeded	Do.	8 0 0		
					Unseeded	Do.	10 0 0		
					to 18 0 0				
					4. Coriander .. Big Small	Do.	27 0 0		
					..	Do.	48 0 0		
					5. Amchur ..	Do.	30 0 0		
					to 80 0 0				
					6. Salt .. Rock	Per mrd.	Not available. 'C'. ble.		
					Sambhar Khargodha	Do.	Ceiling price Rs. 3-2-0.		
					Do.	2 8 0 'C'.			
						Do.	3 2 0 'C'		
					X. I. Miscellaneous—				
					1. Timber—				
					(a) Cheel ..	Per cf.	4 0 0		
					to 5 0 0				
					(b) Shesham .. Sawed	Do.	N.Q.		
					Unsawed	Do.	6 8 0		
					to 7 0 0				

* There is no regular market and the rates quoted relates to the individual transaction.

**BEFORE THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI**

Election Petition No. 8 of 1952

To

The Election Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Petition under section 80(1), section 100 and section 101 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 for declaration to the effect that the election of the returned candidate, Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani, is void and that the petitioner, Shrimati Manmohini Sahgal, has been duly elected to the House of the People from the Parliamentary Constituency of New Delhi.

Shrimati Manmohini Sahgal, resident of 8-A, Lodi Road, New Delhi.

Versus

1. Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani, resident of 6, Jantar Mantar Road, New Delhi.

2. Shri Durga Das, resident of 2, Keeling Lane, New Delhi.

3. Shri Joshi Ganesh Das Bhavdu, resident of Flat No. 130 Z, Timarpur, Delhi.

4. Mahant Raghbir Das of Hanuman Mandir, Irwin Road, New Delhi.

5. Shri Om Prakash Gupta, resident of House No. 4874, Ansari Road, Police Station, Faiz Bazar, Delhi.

6. Shri Surendra Nath, resident of 1, Albuquerque Road, New Delhi.

7. Shri Prafulla Ranjan Chakravarti, resident of 7, Jantar Mantar Road, New Delhi.

8. Bawa Bachittar Singh, resident of 8, Hailey Road, New Delhi.

Petitioner.

Respondents.

The Petitioner humbly states as follows :—

(1) That the Petitioner was a candidate for election to the House of the People from the Parliamentary Constituency of New Delhi. Her electoral number as entered in the list of Tughlak Road Police Station Area, Volume I, was 7357 in this Constituency.

(2) That the Petitioner and the respondents 1 to 8 were declared validly nominated on 10th December 1951, the date fixed for the scrutiny of the nomination papers as candidates for election to the House of the People from the said Constituency.

(3) That on 13th December 1952 Respondents No. 6, 7 and 8 withdrew their candidatures and the only contesting candidate left were the Petitioner and Respondents No. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

(4) That the polling in connection with the election to the House of the People from the said Constituency took place on the 14th January, 1952.

(5) That on the 18th January, 1952, the counting of the votes was completed, and Respondent No. 1 was declared as elected from the said constituency, the votes counted in favour of each candidate being as follows :—

Name of the Candidate	Votes secured
(1) Smt. Sucheta Kripalani ...	47,735
(2) Smt. Manmohini Sahgal ...	40,064
(3) Shri Durga Das ...	6,749
(4) Shri Om Prakash Gupta ...	3,945
(5) Mahant Raghbir Das ...	2,025
(6) Shri Joshi Ganesh Das Bhavdu ...	1,657
Invalid votes	651
	—
	1,02,826

The declaration of the name of the returned candidate was further notified in the official gazette on the 24th January, 1952.

(6) That Respondent No. 1 has been guilty of corrupt and illegal practices set forth below.

(7) That Respondent No. 1 has been guilty of major corrupt practices under section 123(7) of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, as under :—

(i) Respondent No. 1 has incurred, by herself or through her agents, expenses on account of conduct and management of the election in excess of the maximum amount specified, in respect of the New Delhi Parliamentary Constituency ; in

Schedule V, thus contravening Rule 117 of the Rules made under, and section 77 of, the Representation of the People Act, 1951.

Full particulars of this aforementioned corrupt practice are contained in List No. 1 attached herewith.

(ii) Respondent No. 1 has, in connection with the election, employed for payment, by herself and her agents, persons other than and in addition to those specified in Schedule VI, thus contravening Rule 118 made under, and section 77 of, the Representation of the People Act, 1951.

Full particulars of this aforementioned major corrupt practice are contained in List No. 2 attached herewith.

(8) That Respondent No. 1 has further been guilty of the major corrupt practice, under Section 123(6), Representation of the People Act, 1951, of hiring and procuring, by herself and by her agents, of vehicles for the conveyance of electors to and from the polling stations of Monte-Sore School, Prince's Park and Lodi Road.

Full particulars of this aforementioned major corrupt practice are contained in List No. 3 attached herewith.

(9) That Respondent No. 1 has also been guilty of the minor corrupt practice, under Section 124(4), Representation of the People Act, 1951, of making a return of her election expenses false in material particulars. The return is further not in accordance with the Rules and is no return in the eye of law.

Full particulars of this aforementioned minor corrupt practice are contained in List No. 4 attached herewith.

(10) That Respondent No. 1 has further been guilty, under section 125(3), Representation of the People Act, 1951, of the illegal practice of issuing by herself and by her agents, circulars, records and posters, having references to the election, which did not bear the names and addresses of the printers or publishers thereof. This has materially affected the result of the election.

Full particulars of this aforementioned illegal practice are contained in List No. 5 attached herewith.

(11) That there has been a further contravention of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder, as detailed below :—

(a) That the polling continued at the polling stations of Deaf & Dumb School, Bela Road and at some polling booths at Jhandewala, beyond the prescribed time, i.e., 5-30 P.M. fixed by the appropriate authority under Section 56, Representation of the People Act, 1951, and published in the Official Gazette under Rule 16.

(b) That Respondent No. 1's agents were doing the following acts vigorously within, and within the distance of 100 yards from, the polling booths in Rajinder Nagar, in contravention of Section 130, Representation of the People Act, 1951,—

- (i) Canvassing voters for the returned candidate;
- (ii) Soliciting of voters for the returned candidate; and
- (iii) Persuading the voters not to vote for the petitioner.

(c) That the ballot boxes were not opened one by one as required by Rule 46(1) (vi), but as many as 120 boxes were opened at the same time and their counting was simultaneous so that the Petitioner or her counting agent could not be present at the counting of the ballot papers of each box.

The result of the election has also been materially affected by the aforesaid illegal acts of the Respondent No. 1 and her agents.

(12) That but for the votes obtained by the returned candidate by the aforesaid corrupt and illegal practices the Petitioner would have obtained a majority of the valid votes.

(13) That, on the 6th March, 1952, Respondent No. 1 lodged with the Returning Officer a return of her election expenses, and the Returning Officer caused notice of the filing of the return to be published in the Official Gazette, dated 24th March, 1952, in pursuance of Rule 113 of the Representation of the People (Conduct of Elections and Election Petitions) Rules, 1951.

(14) It is, therefore, prayed that the election of the returned candidate (Respondent No. 1) be declared void and that the Petitioner be declared to have been duly elected to the House of the People from the New Delhi Constituency.

Note.—A receipt showing that Rs. 1,000 have been deposited in the Government Treasury (Imperial Bank of India) in favour of the

Secretary to the Election Commission as security for the cost of the petition is enclosed herewith.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner

New Delhi, the 7th April 1952.

Verification—

I, Manmohini Sahgal, Petitioner abovenamed, do hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. (1) to (5) are true to my knowledge, while paras. (6) to (13) are true to my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952.

Encls. (11 sheets) and one T.R. for Rs. 1,000 only.

The petition will be heard by the Tribunal on 26th August, 1952, at 10 A.M. in District Judge's Court, 5 Court Road, Delhi.

Dated 19th July 1952

S. S. DULAT,
Chairman, Election Tribunal.

LIST No. 1

1. Respondent No. 1 has only shown a total expenditure of Rs. 11,338 in her return of election expenses filed by her. The following election expenses incurred, by herself and by her agents, have not been shown by her in her Return :—

(a) Amounts paid for printing of posters at the Tej Press, Delhi, which were distributed amongst the voters at New Delhi between 8th December 1951 and 14th January 1952. Such posters were pasted at various places in very large numbers and the expenditure on such posters is estimated to be Rs. 1,000.

(b) Expenditure incurred on joint hand-bills and posters with Shri Surinder Nath Johar, printed by Khanna Litho Press, Delhi, which were distributed amongst the voters at New Delhi between 8th December 1951 and 14th January 1952. The expenditure on these posters and hand-bills should have been properly allocated to Respondent No. 1's share of expenses shown in her return. Such expenditure is estimated by the Petitioner at Rs. 500.

(c) No expenses have been shown by Respondent No. 1 in connection with her Election Offices at Lajpat Nagar, Lodi Road and various other places in Pahar Ganj, incurred from 8th December 1951 to 14th January 1952. Such expenditure is estimated at Rs. 5,000.

(d) Respondent No. 1 has not shown any travelling expenses or costs of entertainment incurred on account of her agents, clerks, workers and messengers for the election. Such expenditure, which is estimated to be Rs. 6,000, should have been shown in Parts (C) & (D) of her Return, which have been left blank. Even according to her own vouchers Nos. 38 to 46, 54, 164 to 165 and 206 to 214, submitted by her (along with the Return), expenditure incurred for this purpose on account of various persons mentioned therein, amounted to Rs. 3,144, though in reality such expenditure must have been much more than this amount. Again, vouchers Nos. 172 to 174, 192 to 198 and 200 to 205 show that several persons, the names of whom are indicated therein, were paid at Delhi (on the dates mentioned therein) a total sum of Rs. 1,269 to reimburse out-of-pocket expenses incurred by such persons.

(e) The cost of holding meetings after the 31st December, 1951, at various places in the constituency of New Delhi is not shown. The Press Reports from 1st January 1952 to 13th January 1952 show that on an average five meetings per day were addressed by or on behalf of Respondent No. 1 at Lodi Colony, Pahar Ganj, Vinay Nagar, Lajpat Nagar, Rajinder Nagar, Cantonments, Children's Park Connaught Place, Purana Qila and Central Power House. The Petitioner estimates the costs of such meetings which have not been shown by Respondent No. 1 at Rs. 2,000 in aggregate.

(f) No cost for purchase of party flags which were freely displayed at all meetings (in the constituency) and on all vehicles used (in the constituency) in connection with the election of Respondent No. 1 from 8th December 1951 to 14th January 1952 is shown in her return. The Petitioner estimates such undisclosed expenditure at Rs. 250.

(g) No charges incurred on 14th January 1952 for hiring of furniture, decorations, transport charges, diet expenses by polling agents and other workers at polling stations have been shown. There were 219 polling stations and Respondent No. 1 had a small establishment at almost every such polling station. The Petitioner estimates such undisclosed expenditure at Rs. 4,000.

(h) The cost for printing and distributing slips issued to voters throughout the constituency (from 1st January 1952 to 14th January 1952) has not been shown. The Petitioner estimates such expenditure at Rs. 250.

2. The aforementioned expenses which have not been shown in the return add upto Rs. 20,269, which when added to the total sum of Rs. 11,338 shown in part L of the return gives a total of Rs. 31,607 as against the maximum of Rs. 12,000 allowed as election expenditure in terms of Rule 117 read with Schedule V.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

New Delhi, dated the 7th April, 1952.

Verification—

I hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. 1 and 2 above of List No. 1 are true to the best of my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952

LIST No. 2

1. The total number of electors on the roll of the New Delhi Parliamentary Constituency was 1,84,543. Thus under Schedule VI of the Representation of People (Conduct of Elections and Election Petitions) Rules, 1951, read with Rule 118 the number of persons who could be employed for payment by each candidate (or his election agent) in connection with the election were as follows :—

- (1) One Election Agent;
- (2) One Counting Agent;
- (3) Three Clerks; and
- (4) Three messengers.

Besides, each candidate was entitled to employ one polling agent, one messenger and two relief agents for every polling booth.

2. That Respondent No. 1, however, actually employed for payment, by herself or her election agent, 48 persons (the names of whom are set out by Respondent No. 1 in Part B of her return) at a time, none of such persons being a polling agent, relief agent or booth messenger. The details of the salary payments made to these persons on 15th January 1952 is given below :—

Serial No.	Name of the person employed for payment		Serial No. in Part B of Respondent No. 1's return	Rate at which salary paid	Periods for which salary paid	Amount paid
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1 Dev. K. Bhattacharjee	66	Rs. 10 per mensem	..	5 months	Rs. 50
2 Arun Kumar Bose	67	Do.	..	Do.	Do.
3 Mrinal Kanti Mukerjee	68	Do.	..	Do.	Do.
4 Sham Lal Kumar Mozendar	69	Do.	..	Do.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
5	Ram Nath Varma	..	Rs. 10 per mensem	5 months	Rs. 50
6	Borun Kumar Bose	..	Rs. 40 p. m.	Half month	20
7	Manosh Kumar Bose	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
8	Shamlal Kumar Mukerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
9	Alok Kumar Dev	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
10	Sunil Kumar Sen	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
11	Ajit Kumar Dutta	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
12	Dilip Kumar Mozumdar	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
13	Nitai Chatterjee	..	Rs. 100 per mensem	One month	100
14	Saroj Sen	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
15	Meng Sen	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
16	S. M. T. Dipika Sen	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
17	Ranjit Ghosh	..	Rs. 125 per mensem	Do.	125
18	Ranjit Dass	..	Rs. 50 per mensem	Do.	50
19	Abani Gupta	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
20	Kesto Bhattacharya	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
21	Pulok Banerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
22	Ajit Dutta	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
23	Arun Mukerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
24	Gobinda Rudra	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
25	Shanti Mazumdar	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
26	Nabir Roy	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
27	Amar Chatterjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
28	Bibhas Bose	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
29	Jeti Das Sharma	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
30	Subhash Bose	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
31	Mira Dolly Banerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
32	Mihir Ghosal	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
33	Biron Banerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
34	Shankar Ghosh	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
35	Haren Chakravarti	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
36	Rabin Mukerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
37	Kartik Banerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
38	Kishore Mukerjee	..	Rs. 35 per month	Do.	35
39	Atul Roy	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
40	A. Sirha	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
41	Gour Gupta	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
42	Hiren Das Gupta	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
43	Suren Banerjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
44	Amiyo Ghosh	..	Do.	Do.	Do.
45	Asit Chatterjee	..	Do.	Do.	Do.

3. Besides the aforesaid persons employed for payment by Respondent No. 1 (or her election agent), a large number of other persons were paid for piece work from

30th November 1951 to 15th January 1952 as mentioned with their names in the following serial numbers in part B of the return filed by the Respondent No. 1 :—

No.	Serial No. as per return	Date	Work	Amount
1	1	30-11-51	Piece work Rs. 4 per booth	Rs. 8 0 0
2	2	Do.	Piece work Rs. 4 per booth	12 0 0
3	10	Do.	Piece work Rs. 4 per booth	12 0 0
4	16	20-12-51	Piece work Rs. 4 per booth	12 0 0
5	28	1-1-52	Piece work Rs. 4 per work	103 12 0
6	54	18-1-52	Piece work Rs. 1-10-0 per work	17 12 0
7	44	18-1-52	Piece work Rs. 2-8-0 per work	35 0 0

The above instances of piece work are merely illustrative. Out of the total payments of 259 items, piece work payments represent 190 items.

4. Part B of the return filed by Respondent No. 1 shows that apart from the 140 persons whose names are shown as from serial numbers 120 to 259 and who were paid on 15th January 1952 at the rate of Rs. 3 per day, 32 different persons shown as serial numbers 31, 32, 34, 42, 44 to 63, 78 to 85 were paid on 15th January 1952 for piece work from Rs. 1-10-0 per work to Rs. 4 per work or per piece. It is not clear what is meant either by the words "per booth" in payment for piece work made on 30th November 1951 or the words "per piece" and "per work" for payments made on 15th January 1952.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

New Delhi, dated the 7th April, 1952.

Verification—

I hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. 1 to 4 above of List No. 2 are true to the best of my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952.

LIST No. 3

1. Respondent No. 1 by herself and her agents hired and procured not less than two buses and not less than two motor cars in which electors were conveyed to and from the polling stations of Montessori School, Prince's

Park and polling stations at Lodi Road on 14th January 1952.

2. Respondent No. 1 has, therefore, been guilty of the major corrupt practice under Section 123(6).

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verification—

I hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. 1 to 2 are true to the best of my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952.

LIST No. 4

1. Respondent No. 1 has not properly and correctly shown in the return of election expenses filed by her the expenditure incurred by her in connection with the election.

2. Respondent No. 1 has not filed complete vouchers regarding the expenditure incurred by her and shown in the return.

3. Respondent No. 1 has not shown the description of the payees in the return.

4. The return is false in material particulars.

5. The objections in detail regarding each part of the return are given below :—

Objections to Part (A) of the return.

(i) The descriptions of the payees regarding the expenditure incurred in connection with this part have not been given in the return.

(ii) The expenses regarding election literature of the Kisan Mazdoor Praja Party have not been shown.

(iii) The cost for printing of voter slips which were distributed to all the voters on the list has not been shown.

Objections to Part (B) of the return.

(i) The addresses of the payees are not given.

(ii) The period for which remuneration was paid to the agents, clerks and messengers has not been mentioned in all cases.

(iii) No voucher has been attached to the return for items of expenditure shown in this part of the return except for payments covered by Voucher No. 215, which supports items No. 120 to 259, vouchers No. 157, 170 and 199 which support the corresponding payments in part (B) of the return, and the item of Rs. 6-8-0 in Voucher No. 211. Other vouchers filed relate to travelling and diet expenses of the workers as reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses of workers, and do not tally with the items of expenditure shown in this part.

Objections to Part (C) of the return.

The expenses regarding travelling and cost of entertainment incurred by Respondent No. 1 (or her election agent) on account of her agents, clerks, workers and messengers for the election have not been shown in this or any other part of the return, even though according to her own Vouchers Nos. 38 to 46, 164 to 165 and 206 to 214, such expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,144, as already mentioned in para. 1(d) of List No. 1.

Objections to Part (D) of the return.

The expenditure of the nature required by Part (D) of the return has not been shown at all, although expenditure of that type was incurred by Respondent No. 1. All payments made for piece work, as shown in Part (B) of the return as submitted, ought to have been shown in this part of the return.

Objections to Part (E) of the return.

(i) The entire expenditure incurred by Respondent No. 1 on printing has not been shown in this part of the return. As pointed out in List No. 1, the detail of the expenditure on account of printing work done by the Tej Printing Press and Khanna Litho Printing Press and others has not been shown in this (or any other) part of the return.

(ii) The cost for printing of voter slips which were distributed throughout the constituency (from 1st January 1952 to 14th January 1952) to all the voters on the list and as pointed in List No. 1(1) (h), has not been shown.

Objections to Part (F) of the return.

The full cost of advertising incurred by Respondent No. 1 has not been included in this part of the return. The stencilling charges of the name and symbol of Respondent No. 1 on the walls of various buildings in the Constituency have not been shown. No expense in decorating a motor-vehicle on which a hut was erected has been disclosed in this part of the return. No expenditure incurred in connection with the pasting and distributing of posters and hand-bills throughout the constituency (from 8th December 1951 to 14th January 1952) has been disclosed.

Objection to Part (G) of the return.

The cost of the stationery which has been disclosed in this part of the return is on the face of it inadequate. Respondent No. 1 is reported to have sent circular letters with a personal appeal to all the voters on the list in different languages. The cost of stationery for sending out more than one lac letters to almost all the voters in the constituency (from 1st January 1952 to 13th January 1952) could not have been as small as has been shown in this part of the return.

Objection to Part (H) of the return.

The cost of postage and telegrams is shown at Rs. 50 which is not the full amount that has been expended by Respondent No. 1. Such expenditure actually incurred was much more than this amount.

Objection to Part (I) of the return.

Nothing has been shown in this part of the return which is left blank. Respondent No. 1 was maintaining election offices at various places in the constituency and incurred a large expenditure as already detailed in List No. 1.

Objection to Part (K) of the return.

Nothing has been shown in this or any other part of the return about the expenses incurred in the installation and 'Call' charges for the telephones used in the election

campaign. Such expenditure could not be less than Rs. 250.

89
MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

New Delhi, dated the 7th April, 1952.

Verification—

I hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. 1 to 5 are true to the best of my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952.

LIST NO. 5

1. Respondent No. 1 has been guilty under Section 123(3) of the illegal practice of issuing, by herself and by her agents, several circulars, records and posters relating to the election which did not bear the names and addresses of the printers. The list of such posters, etc., is given below :—

- (i) Poster in the name of K.M.P. Party with a manifesto headed, "Know Your Candidate";
- (ii) Hand-Bill in the name of K.M.P. Party;
- (iii) Voter's slip issued to every voter on the list of the electors; and
- (iv) Several others.

2. All these posters, hand-bills, etc., as mentioned in para. 1 above, were distributed or pasted on walls between the 8th December, 1951 and 14th January 1952, throughout the Constituency in New Delhi.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

New Delhi, dated the 7th April, 1952.

Verification—

I do hereby verify that the statements contained in paras. 1 and 2 are true to the best of my information and belief.

MANMOHINI SAHGAL,
Petitioner.

Verified at New Delhi on 7th April, 1952.

Election Petition No. 9 of 1952

Ch. Surat Singh, Rajpur Village, Civil Lines, Delhi.

Petitioner.

Vs.

1. Shri Jang Bahadur Singh, House No. 10873 W.E.A. Block No. 5 Karol Bagh, Delhi.

2. Shri Dhanpat Rai, IIIC, Reeds Lines, Kingsway Camp, Delhi.

3. Shri Ram Lal Sharma, Quarter No. 180, B. Block, Reeds Lines, Kingsway Camp, Delhi.

4. Shri Sham Dass, Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp Civil Lines, Delhi.

5. Shri Seth Shadi Lal, Underhill Lane, Civil Lines, Delhi.

6. Shri Jia Lal, Nehru Kutia, Malkaganj Road, Delhi.

7. Vaid Lakhi Ram, No. 3508, Arya Pura, Sabzimandi, Delhi.

8. Shri Gur Dutt Singh Jali, No. 3, Darya Ganj, Delhi.

9. Shri Shani Ram, No. 39, Hudson Line, Kingsway Camp, Delhi.

10. Shri Harnam Das, Barrack No. 114, Kingsway Camp, Delhi.

11. Shri M. L. Batra, P.C.S., Returning Officer, Kashmere Gate, Delhi. Respondents.
Election petition under sections 80, 81, 100, 101, 123, 124 etc., of the Representation of the People Act 1951 (Act 43 of 51).

The humble petition of the Petitioner showeth as follows :—

1. That the Petitioner being the Indian National filed his nomination paper on 8th December, 1951 as a candidate for the election to Delhi State Assembly.

Respondents Nos. 1 to 10 also filed their nomination papers for election to the Delhi State Assembly from Kingsway Camp Constituency (No. 38).

2. That the date of scrutiny of the nomination papers was 10th December 1951 and of withdrawal of names 13th December 1951, and as a result of the scrutiny and withdrawal the Petitioner and Respondents Nos. 1 to 3 were left as candidates to contest the election to the State Assembly for the above mentioned constituency. Respondent No. 11 was the Returning Officer of the said Kingsway Camp Constituency.

3. The election was held on 14th January 1952.

4. That the result of the said election was declared on 23rd January 1952 in which Shri Jang Bahadur Singh Respondent No. 1 above was declared elected.

The votes cast for the candidates were as follows :—

(i) Ch. Surat Singh	3,436
(ii) Shri Jang Bahadur Singh	4,135
(iii) Shri Dhanpat Rai	226
(iv) Shri Ram Lal Sharma	123

5. That the Election Officer should have rejected the nomination paper of Shri Jang Bahadur Singh as his proposer Shri Nand Lal, Serial No. 25569, Kingsway Camp and his Seconder Shri Mela Singh, Serial No. 26279, Kingsway Camp failed to give or mention the part in which they resided in the said constituency and their names are entered and this contravenes provisions of Election Rules as appearing in Clause 6 Page 3 of the Nomination Paper as printed on page 708 of "Election Manual" of October, 1951. The election of Shri Jang Bahadur Singh is liable to be declared void and should be set aside on this ground alone. Kingsway Camp Constituency is sub-divided into two parts namely Civil Lines and Sabzi Mandi and separate serial number are assigned to the electors in each part.

6. The corrupt practices, illegalities and election offences practised by Respondent No. 1, among other, were as follows :—

- (a) That the part in which names of Proposer and Seconder are entered are not mentioned in nomination papers of Respondent No. 1 Shri Jang Bahadur Singh and the nomination paper of Said Shri Jang Bahadur Singh was liable to be rejected and petitioner would have been elected to the State Assembly.
- (b) That out of the 2,000 voters of the Police Lines, Kingsway, about 850 electors exercised their votes attested by proper official and sent them to the Election Officer by post. Out of 850 votes thus attested and duly posted 825 were in favour of the Petitioner.
- (c) Out of the total of 850 votes only 60 reached the Election Officer and the rest were lost in post and failed to reach the said Election Officer.
- (d) Shri Jang Bahadur Singh who admits to be a Vice-President of the All India Postal Union and has thus great influence with the Postmen, has benefited from this disappearance of about 790 votes from the Post Box and/or Post Office. But for this disappearance of the votes the Petitioner would have won the election as the Petitioner has lost only by a margin of 695 votes.
- (e) That Shri Jang Bahadur Singh and his wife Shrimati Gyan Devi got printed, distributed and affixed several posters in Hindi and Urdu which were printed in Partap Press and Gupta Printing Works and Saraswati Press falsely and maliciously describing the Petitioner as No. 10 Badmash and bad character and therefore unfit and undesirable as member of the Delhi State Assembly. Copies of such posters were distributed and affixed in the Kingsway Camp Constituency with the sole purpose of influencing and prejudicing the voters of the said constituency against the Petitioner and lowering him in the estimation of all. The said posters materially affected the result of the election which the Petitioner lost. Copies of some of the posters mentioned above are filed along with this petition.
- (f) That Shri Jang Bahadur Singh paid Rs. 650 to Shri Ram Lal Sharma and Rs. 1,000 to Shri Dhanpat Rai in order to get them announce their withdrawal from the election contest in favour of Shri Jang Bahadur Singh. Shri Ram Lal Sharma and Dhanpat Rai consequently

made such an announcement in a public meeting at which Shri Jang Bahadur was present. This also constitute a corrupt practice.

7. It is, therefore, prayed—

- (i) That the election of Shri Jang Bahadur Singh be declared void for reasons given above and Shri Jang Bahadur Singh be disqualified for standing in any election.
- (ii) That the Petitioner may be declared elected to the Delhi State Assembly.
- (iii) The cost of the election petition be awarded to the Petitioner.
- (iv) Such other suitable order may be passed in favour of the Petitioner as the Tribunal and Election Commission deems fit and proper under the circumstances of the petition.

Petitioner,

CH. SURAT SINGH,

Through Shri R. N. Tikku, Advocate, Delhi.

Verification—

I, Ch. Surat Singh, the Petitioner, above named, do hereby verify that the contents of paragraphs 1 to 7 are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

This verification made this 21st day of April 1952 at Delhi.

(Sd.) SURAT SINGH.

Signed before me this the twenty-first day of April 1952.

(Sd.) P. N. KRISHNAMANI.

Assistant Secretary Election Commission India.

Dated the 21st April 1952.

The petition will be heard by the Tribunal on 26th August, 1952, at 10 A.M. in District Judge's Court, 5 Court Road, Delhi.

(Sd.) SURAT SINGH.

Dated the 21st April 1952.

S. S. DULAT,

Chairman Election Tribunal.

Dated 19th July, 1952

Ch. Surat Singh of Rajpur Village,
Civil Lines, Delhi.

Petitioner.

Versus

Shri Jang Bahadur Singh & others. Respondents.

Following is the list setting forth full particulars of corrupt and illegal practices committed by the respondent No. 1 and at his instance :—

1. The respondent No. 11, Shri M. L. Batra, Returning Officer, illegally accepted the nomination paper of Shri Jang Bahadur Singh, respondent No. 1, on 10th January 1952, at Kashmere Gate, Delhi. The said nomination paper ought to have been rejected as the proposer of the said respondent No. 1, Shri Nand Lal, and the seconder, Shri Mela Singh, failed to mention the part in which they resided in the Kingsway Camp Constituency and their names entered in the electoral roll of the said Constituency which comprised of two separate parts, namely Civil Lines and Subzimandi and separate serial numbers are assigned to both parts.

2. That between the 10th and 17th January, 1952, the Postal Ballot Papers of about 825 voters were removed or manipulated to be removed from the post office or post boxes or by the postmen concerned. The said respondent No. 1 was and is the Vice-President of the All India Postal Union and has benefited from disappearance of postal ballots.

3. That the respondent No. 1, Shri Jang Bahadur Singh, between the dates 12th January 1952 and 14th January 1952, with the assistance of his wife, Shrimati Gyan Devi, got printed, distributed and affixed several posters in Hindi and Urdu, which were printed at Partap Electric Press, Gupta Printing Works and Saraswati Press of Delhi State and they falsely and maliciously described the petitioner as No. 10 Badmash and bad character and therefore unfit and undesirable as a member of the Delhi State Legislative Assembly. Copies of such posters were distributed and affixed in Kingsway Camp Constituency with the sole purpose of influencing and prejudicing the voters of the said Constituency against the Petitioner and lowering him in the estimation of all. The said posters materially and adversely affected the result of the election

which the petitioner lost. Copies of some of the posters abovementioned and their translations are filed along with the petition.

4. That the respondent, Shri Jang Bahadur Singh, paid Rs. 650 to Shri Ram Lal Sharma, respondent No. 3, on or about 11th January 1952, and Rs. 1,000 to Shri Dhanpat Rai, respondent No. 2, on or about 12th January 1952 at Delhi, in order to get them announced their withdrawal from the election contest in favour of respondent No. 1 abovenamed. The respondents Nos. 2 and 3 abovenamed actually made such an announcement on or about 12th January 1952 in a public meeting in the said Constituency at which the respondent No. 1 was also present.

Dated the 22nd April 1952.

(Sd.) SURAT SINGH,
Petitioner.

Verification—

I, Surat Singh, the Petitioner abovenamed, do hereby verify that the contents of paras. 1 to 4 above of this statement are true to the best of my knowledge and/or belief.

Verified this 22nd day of April, 1952 at Delhi.

(Sd.) SURAT SINGH,
Petitioner.

Election Petition No. 10 of 1952

To

The Secretary,
The Election Commission,
New Delhi.

Jaswant Singh, s/o L. Gulab Singh,
resident of 25/D Kamla Nagar, Subzimandi, Delhi.

Petitioner.

Versus

1. Jagan Nath, resident of Gali L. Ram Roop, Subzimandi, Delhi.
2. Brahma Sarup, resident of Shri Krishan Kutir, House No. XII/1828, Malka Ganj Road, Subzimandi, Delhi.
3. Ram Prasad Poddar, resident of House No. 7395, Inside Birla Mills, Subzimandi, Delhi.
4. Sunder Dass, resident of 28/E Kamla Nagar, Subzimandi, Delhi.

Respondents.

Election Petition under Section 80/81 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, questioning the election of respondent No. 1 from the Constituency of Roshan Ara (No. 25) for the Delhi State Legislative Assembly, held on the 14th of January 1952, and challenging the election as a whole as void.

The petitioner begs to challenge the above-noted election on the following GROUNDS :—

1. That the respondents named above were validly nominated candidates for the Constituency of Roshan Ara (No. 25) of Delhi State Legislative Assembly and that the petitioner had also filed nomination papers for that Constituency, but the same were rejected by the learned Returning Officer at the time of Scrutiny under his Order, dated the 10th December 1951, and that the rejection was improper.

2. That the learned Returning Officer of the above-noted Constituency rejected the nomination papers filed by the petitioner on the ground that the age given in the nomination papers did not tally with that given in the Electoral Rolls. The rejection was improper as the learned Returning Officer himself admits in his Order, dated the 10th December, 1951, that there is a "little disfigurement" in the Urdu Rolls. Originally the Electoral Rolls in the Delhi State were prepared in Urdu and the Rolls were first printed in Urdu only. English or Hindi editions were printed much later and were based on the Urdu Edition and for all practical purposes the Urdu edition has been considered to be authoritative within the State of Delhi. The learned Returning Officer has erred in not giving the benefit of the "disfigurement" in the Rolls in favour of the petitioner. The digit disfigured should be read as "zero" and not as "nine"—the question of getting the age corrected so far as the Urdu Edition of the Electoral Rolls is concerned did not arise. The relevant printed leaf out of the Electoral Rolls of the said Roshan Ara Constituency is attached herewith. A certified copy of the nomination paper with the order of the learned Returning Officer thereon is attached herewith.

3. That, at the time of the Scrutiny of the nomination papers of the petitioner no objection was taken to the identity of the petitioning candidate. Instead of calling for the establishment of the identity of the petitioner before rejecting his nomination papers the learned Returning Officer summarily refused to entertain any proof of identity by the petitioner. As a matter of fact even the learned Returning Officer had no doubt whatsoever as regards the identity of the petitioner the same Returning Officer accepted the nomination papers of the petitioning candidate while scrutinizing the nomination papers filed in respect of another Constituency of the Delhi State Legislative Assembly, namely Constituency of Chandrawal No. 24, although the age in these nomination papers was also entered as 40 years. A certified copy of the nomination paper filed for Constituency of Chandrawal with the Order of the Returning Officer thereon is attached herewith.

4. The discrepancy as to age in the Electoral Roll if any is a trifling matter and the disfigurement or mistake in the digit of '9' is merely clerical and has not cast any doubt in the mind of the learned Returning Officer as to the identity of the petitioning candidate. The defect in the entry of age is a mere technical defect particularly when the identity of the petitioner is not doubtful in view of the other entries in the Electoral Roll against the name of the petitioner.

5. The identity of the petitioner is established beyond doubt. The rejection of the nomination papers as detailed above was improper and that it has materially affected the result of the Election.

It is, therefore, prayed that the election in respect of the above noted Constituency may kindly be set aside as void and that the petitioner be awarded costs of the petition.

JASWANT SINGH,
Petitioner.

Through Shri V. P. Joshi Advocate.

Verification—

I, Jaswant Singh solemnly declare that the contents of my above noted petitioned are true to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Verified at Delhi this 26th day of April, 1952.

JASWANT SINGH,
Petitioner.

N.B.—A sum of Rs. 1,000 (one thousand only) has already been deposited with the Reserve Bank of India, Delhi, vide challan No. 1025, dated 25th April 1952 which is attached herewith.

The petition will be heard by the Tribunal on 26th August, 1952, at 10 a.m. in District Judges Court, 5 Court Road, Delhi.

Dated the 19th July 1952.

S. S. DULAT,
Chairman Election Tribunal.

Election Petition No. 11 of 1952

To

The Secretary
The Election Commission,
New Delhi.

Jaswant Singh, s/o L. Gulab Singh,
resident of 25/D Kamla Nagar, Subzimandi, Delhi

Petitioner.

Versus

1. Mangal Dass resident of 2554, Bari Basti Panjabian, Subzimandi, Delhi.
2. Bhim Sen resident of 39/40 Dhaliwal Building, Shakti Nagar, Subzimandi, Delhi.
3. Harbans Singh Bedi, resident of Gulabi Bagh, Roshan Ara Road, Subzimandi, Delhi.
4. Ram Asray, resident of House No. XII/3516, Aryapura, Subzimandi, Delhi.
5. Madan Lal Kakar resident of 3277, Aryapura, Subzimandi, Delhi.
6. Nawal Kishore, resident of Aryapura, Subzimandi Delhi.

Respondent.

Election petition under Section 80/81 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, questioning the election of respondent No. 1 from the Constituency of Aryapura

(No. 26) for the Delhi State Legislative Assembly, held on the 14th of January, 1952 and challenging the election as a whole as void.

The petitioner begs to challenge the above noted election on the following GROUNDS :—

1. That the respondents named above were validly nominated candidates for the Constituency of Aryapura (No. 26) of Delhi State Legislative Assembly and that the petitioner had also filed nomination papers for that Constituency, but the same were rejected by the learned Returning Officer at the time of Scrutiny under his Order dated the 10th December, 1951, and that the rejection was improper.

2. That the learned Returning Officer of the above noted Constituency rejected the nomination papers filed by the petitioner on the ground that the age given in the nomination papers did not tally with that given in the Electoral Rolls. The rejection was improper as the learned Returning Officer himself admits in his Order, dated the 10th December, 1951, that there is a "little disfigurement" in the Urdu Rolls. Originally the Electoral Rolls in the Delhi State were prepared in Urdu and the Rolls were first printed in Urdu only. English or Hindi editions were printed much later and were based on the Urdu Edition and for all practical purposes the Urdu edition has been considered to be authoritative within the State of Delhi. The learned Returning Officer has erred in not giving the benefit of the "disfigurement" in the Rolls in favour of the petitioner, the digit disfigured should be read as "zero" and not as "nine" the question of getting the age corrected so far as the Urdu Edition of the Electoral Rolls is concerned did not arise. The relevant printed leaf out of the Electoral Rolls of the said Roshan Ara Constituency shall be produced as and when required. A certified copy of the nomination paper with the Order of the learned Returning Officer thereon is attached herewith.

3. That, at the time of the Scrutiny of the nomination papers of the petitioner no objection was taken as to the identity of the petitioning candidate. Instead of calling for the establishment of the identity of the petitioner before rejecting his nomination papers the learned Returning Officer summarily refused to entertain any proof of identity by the petitioner. As a matter of fact even the learned Returning Officer had no doubt whatsoever as regards the identity of the petitioner the same Returning Officer accepted the nomination papers of the petitioning candidate while scrutinizing the nomination papers filed in respect of another Constituency of the Delhi State Legislative Assembly, namely Constituency of Chandrawal, No. 24, although the age in these nomination papers was also entered as 40 years. A true copy of the nomination paper filed for Constituency of Chandrawal with the Order of the Returning Officer thereon is attached herewith.

4. The discrepancy as to age in the Electoral Roll if any is a trifling matter and the disfigurement or mistake in the digit of "9" is merely clerical and has not cast any doubt in the mind of the learned Returning Officer as to the identity of the petitioning candidate. The defect in the entry of age is a mere technical defect particularly when the identity of the petitioner is not doubtful in view of the other entries in the Electoral Roll against the name of the petitioner.

5. The identity of the petitioner is established beyond doubt. The rejection of the nomination papers as detailed above was improper and that it has materially affected the result of the Election.

6. That the Return of Election Expenses filed by respondent No. 1 has not been lodged with the Returning Officer in the manner required by law.

7. That the Return of Election Expenses as filed by respondent No. 1 is false in material particulars and the statement of expenses is not supported by proper vouchers. There are vouchers which do not bear the full particulars of payees (i.e., voucher Nos. 5, 8, 9, 10, 20, 25, 27, 37, 38, 43, 44, 50, 55, 62, 67, 69 and 75). There are vouchers which were not stamped at the time money was received but were stamped later (e.g. voucher Nos. 8, 15, 31, 43, 49, 54, 57, 67, 69, 73 and 75). These facts cast doubts as to the genuineness of the statement of expenses.

It is, therefore, prayed that the election in respect of the above noted Constituency may kindly be set aside as void and that the petitioner be awarded costs of the petition.

JASWANT SINGH,
Petitioner.

Through Shri V. P. Joshi, Advocate, Delhi.

Verification—

I, Jaswant Singh solemnly declare that the contents of my above noted petition are true to the best of my knowledge, belief and information.

Verified at Delhi this 26th day of April, 1952.

JASWANT SINGH,
Petitioner.

N.B.—A sum of Rs. 1,000 (one thousand only) has already been deposited with the Reserve Bank of India, Delhi, vide challan No. 1024, dated 25th April 1952 which is attached herewith.

The petition will be heard by the Tribunal on 26th August, 1952 at 10 A.M. in the District Judges Court, 5 Court Road, Delhi.

Dated 19th July 1952

S. S. DULAT,
Chairman Election Tribunal.

Election Petition No. 12 of 1952

To

The Election Commission,
New Delhi.

Election Petition under Chapter II, Part VI of Act 43 of 1951

Bachittar Singh, son of Bawa Dasawanda Singh, resident of No. 6, Hailey Road, New Delhi.

Petitioner.

Versus

1. Girdhari Lal Salwan, House No. 2011, Ward No. XV, Thana Pahar Ganj, Delhi.

2. Shri Badri Nath, s/o Mussadi Lal, House No. 10592, Jhandwala Road (Nabi Karim), Delhi.

3. Shri Inder Parkash, s/o Sita Ram, H. No. 1709, Partap Street, Chuna Mandi, Paharganj, Delhi.

4. S. Kartar Singh, s/o Ishar Singh, 10470/71, Kabbar Mandi, Bagchi Allaudin, Ramchander Bhavan, Paharganj, New Delhi.

5. Shri Jai Pal, s/o Sant Ram, 2390, Tilak Street, Paharganj, New Delhi.

6. S. Lachman Singh, s/o Bakshi Singh, 6A/53, W.E.A. Karol Bagh, Delhi.

7. S. Harbhajan Singh, s/o Avtar Singh, 1973, Guru Nanak Gali, Chuna Mandi, Paharganj, New Delhi.

8. Shri Ram Labhaya, s/o Hari Chand C/o M/s. Sain Das Ram Lubhaya, Lakar Mandi, Motia Khan, Paharganj, Delhi.

9. Shri Surrender Nath, s/o Kahan Chand, Jawhar, Central Lane, New Delhi.

10. S. Ragbir Singh, s/o Ram Chand, House No. 5769, Basti Harphool Singh, Sunder Bagh, Police Station, Delhi.

Respondents.

The petitioner submits as follows :—

1. That the petitioner was duly nominated as a candidate for election to the Delhi State Legislative Assembly from Jhandewalan Constituency.

2. That the respondent No. 1 on the date of the election, which was 14th January, 1952, and on several days prior to the date of election by himself and through his agents had been spreading malicious and false propaganda that the petitioner was endeavouring to get votes in his favour in the said election on payment of money. This propaganda by respondent No. 1 and by the said persons on his behalf was absolutely false and intended to cause harm to the petitioner and for prejudicing the prospects of the petitioner's election to the Delhi State Legislative Assembly.

3. That the respondent No. 1 issued posters and exhibited big paintings and advertisements headed "Chandi Ka Juta" (the silver shoe) making wild and totally false insinuations and allegations against the petitioner. These posters, paintings and advertisements were published by the respondent No. 1 knowing fully well that they were wrong and that the petitioner had nothing to do and did not in any way distribute any money and were meant for harming the personal character and for prejudicing the prospects of the petitioner's election.

4. That liquor was freely distributed by the respondent No. 1 among voters of Jhandewalan Constituency with a view to inducing the voters not to vote for the petitioner and for inducing them to vote for the respondent No. 1 and to secure votes for him.

5. That the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents have been distributing money to voters in the constituency inducing them to vote for respondent No. 1, or in the alternate to refrain at the voting in the election. This bribery at the instance of respondent No. 1 had been rampant in the entire constituency on the morning of 14th January, 1952, the date of election. On account of this corrupt practice of bribery the election has not been a free election.

6. That the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents directly interfered with the free exercise of the electoral right by the voters in the constituency on the date of polling. The voters were not allowed to tender their votes, thereby several hundred voters could not exercise their votes. At polling station No. 11 at the police of Sahydhari Insurance Co., opposite Police Station, Paharganj, Delhi several thousand hooligans were collected by the respondent No. 1 and by his connivance by his agents at the time when the petitioner happened to be at the said polling station. The said crowd of hooligans challenged the petitioner to dare to come out of the polling station. The polling officer had to address the hooligans several times. Peace was intentionally disturbed at the said polling station No. 11 several times by respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents. For keeping peace mounted police had to be called for and used several times to clear the besieged polling station. The Chief Commissioner, the Additional District Magistrate Delhi, the Magistrate-in-charge Mr. Sharma, Mr. Uttam Singh Magistrate, S. P. City, Area D.S.P. and Officer-in-charge Police Station Paharganj, Delhi and various other officers of the Delhi State Government were eye-witnesses to these acts of hooliganism, and disturbance of peace and direct attempt for interference in the exercise of electoral right by voters. All this interference was done at the instance of respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents. The Polling Officers of the various polling stations in the constituency had been trying to ask the hooligans and the trouble mongers collected outside the polling stations by respondent No. 1 to allow the voters to come and to exercise their right of voting, but respondent No. 1 and his agents continued to harass the voters and interfere in the exercise of electoral right by voters.

7. That at polling station No. 12 Dharamshala Pandit Salig Ram near Jhandewalan Temple, the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents attempted to stab the petitioner when he reached the said polling station. Hundreds of people at the instance of respondent No. 1 attacked the petitioner and the petitioner to save himself ran into the polling station. Several hundred men of the respondent No. 1 from provincial Head Office of the Jan Sangh situated adjacent to place tried to follow the petitioner in the polling station and disorder ensued and polling had to be suspended for about one hour in order to secure peace. At this polling station No. 12 as on the other polling stations of the constituency the voters who wanted to vote for the petitioner became frightened and anxious of their security and went away back to their homes without casting their votes. Those voters felt that their lives were in danger if they exercised their right of voting and that in favour of the petitioner. The result was that the petitioner lost a good number of votes by undue influence exercised by the respondent No. 1.

8. That the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents terrorised the workers and supporters of the petitioner and threatened them that in case they worked for the petitioner dire injuries will be caused to their person and property.

9. On 14th January 1952 the day on which the election was held at polling station No. 9 amongst others the workers of the petitioner were attacked and beaten by respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents. Several workers of the petitioner received injuries. Dr. Jagan Nath Verma who was also working for the petitioner was severely injured by the agents of respondent No. 1. Dr. Jagan Nath Verma remained unconscious for several hours on account of these injuries caused by the respondent No. 1 and his agents. Interference with the free exercise of the electoral right of the electors and undue influence was caused.

10. On 14th January 1952 the election day, Hukam Chand Ex-Frontier M.L.A. with about 50 men all acting on behalf of the respondent No. 1 attacked the voters who were intending to vote for the Congress and the petitioner. Dr. Rup Lall Batra Municipal Commissioner

of the ward and active supporter of the petitioner was terrorised by said Hukam Chand and had to be rescued by the police. On account of this act most of the voters ran away and felt that it was not safe for them to exercise their right of voting. Women voters in several areas of the constituency did not stir out of their houses because they felt that it was not safe for them to go out for voting and that the respondent No. 1 was causing all possible interference and threatening the voters who supported the Congress.

11. Several thousand persons of the respondent No. 1 including teachers and students of Salwan School and several refugees from Faridabad and other camps at the instance of respondent No. 1, several in drunken condition, prevented the voters from moving about in the constituency for the purpose of exercise of their fundamental right to vote.

12. That the men of the respondent No. 1 used razor blades to cut the ropes of the tents affixed by the petitioner near the polling stations and thus caused disturbance of peace and did not allow the voters to exercise their votes. The respondent No. 1 personally addressed and instigated crowds at several polling stations not to allow voters to enter into the polling stations if those voters seemed to be supporting the Congress and the petitioner.

13. The respondent No. 1 employed bad characters and he himself with the help of those bad characters drunkards and other persons has been chasing the petitioner in the constituency from time to time on the 14th January 1952 and threatened the petitioner with injury and death and exercised undue influence.

14. That the respondent No. 1 did not allow the petitioner to come out of his motor to enter the polling stations and with his connivance his agents spread false and baseless rumours in the constituency on 14th January 1952 and frivolously said to every body that the petitioner had been arrested and later on said that the petitioner had been killed by any angry mob and that it was no use voting for a dead man. Even the officers of the police station in the area who reached the spot found such false and baseless rumours being spread.

15. That the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents widely circulated false, malicious reports and reported to the police also that a boy had been seriously injured by the petitioner's motor and that the petitioner had been caught in the act of giving currency notes to voters and such currency notes had been recovered from him. To further augment these baseless allegations some currency notes were given by the respondent No. 1 himself to the Police alleging these to be unclaimed.

16. That votes had been cast in the polling in the name of persons who were dead or who on the day of polling were far away from Delhi. Scores of persons at the instance of respondent No. 1 and with his connivance and with the connivance of his agents obtained ballot papers in the name of other persons who were either dead or were not in Delhi on 14th January 1952 and fictitiously voted in the name of other persons in favour of respondent No. 1.

17. That two motor vehicles of Hakumat Lal Contractor, polling agent of respondent No. 1 were used for bringing the voters from Lakar Mandi to Jhandewalan temple and from Bagichi Alauddin to Datar High School and from M.M. Road to Narangkari Craft School at the instance of the respondent No. 1.

18. That on the morning of 14th January 1952 the respondent No. 1 along with the Jan Sangh and Akali Party workers and with his connivance his agents have been holding public meetings within the constituency urging people to vote against the Congress. Processions were also being taken on 14th January 1952 by the respondent No. 1 and his men against law carrying hanging shoe on a bamboo wrapped with silver colour leaf of cigarette cases.

19. That at several election meetings of the petitioner prior to 14th January 1952 the respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his men have been causing disturbance and have been inciting people to act in disorderly manner. Even the meetings addressed by prominent persons like Smt. Indira Gandhi and Central Government Minister Hon'ble Mahavir Tayagi and others were disturbed by bullocks having been let loose among the audience.

20. That the return of election expenses filed by the respondent No. 1 for the election in the above-mentioned constituency is wrong and much more expenses were incurred by the respondent No. 1 than declared by him. The returns of expenses are fictitious. The respondent No. 1 actually incurred much more than Rs. 2,000, the maximum permissible expenses under the rules.

21. That but for the votes obtained by the respondent No. 1 by corrupt and illegal practices the petitioner would have obtained a majority of votes and would have been declared as successful candidate in the election in the above-mentioned constituency of the Delhi State Legislative Assembly, as the petitioner lost the election by 105 votes.

22. The petitioner humbly prays that the election of the respondent No. 1 be declared to be void and the petitioner be declared to have been duly elected.

Delhi, the 24th April, 1952.

BACHITTAR SINGH,
Petitioner.

Statements made above in paragraphs Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 19 are true to the best of my knowledge and statements made above in paragraphs Nos. 4, 5, 16, 20 and 21 are true upon information received and believed to be true by me.

Verified at Delhi this 24th day of April, 1952.

The petition will be heard by the Tribunal on 26th August, 1952, at 10 A.M., in the District Judge's Court, 5, Court Road, Delhi.

Dated, the 24th July 1952.

S. S. DULAT,
Chairman, Election Tribunal.

Bachittar Singh.

Petitioner.

Versus

Girdhari Lal Salwan and others.

Respondents.

List under Section 83(2) of Act 43 of 1951.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 2 of the petition

Place	Date	Name of persons committing
Chowk Chunia Mandi	4th January to 14th January	Madan Lal, House No. 1170, Pratap Street, Delhi.
Pratap Street ..	4th January to 14th January	Devi Prakash, Pratap Street, Delhi.
Wazir Singh Street	4th January to 14th January	Vidya Sagar, Wazir Singh Street, Delhi.
Tilak Street } Nalwa Street }	4th January to 14th January	Inder Prakash, 1709, Pratap Street, Delhi. Raoji Lal S/o Girdharilal Salwan, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
Multani Dhanda (Chuna Mandi) & Hari Masjid.	4th January to 15th January	Shiv Datt S/o Girdharilal Salwan, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. Kukam Chand, Ex., M.L.A.—Frontier Restaurant, Chuna Mandi, Delhi. Surdar Dass S/o Jai Dayal Vija, Hari Masjid, Paharganj, Delhi. Harbans Lal S/o Jai Dayal Vija, Hari Masjid, Paharganj, Delhi. Mela Ram S/o Jai Dayal Vija, Hari Masjid, Paharganj, Delhi.
Multani Dhanda Gali No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, & 9.	4th January to 14th January	1. Behari Lal, Prem Milk Shop, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 2. Sarv Mittar Bajaj, Hind Leather Store, Bara Tooti, Delhi.
Baghchi Allauddin	4th January to 14th January	1. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi. 2. Bankey Lal C/o Suraj Bhan Namberdar.
Jhandewalan Road Nabi Karim	4th January to 14th January	3. Ruppal, House No. 10532 Baghchi Allauddin. 4. Hari Kishan, House No. 10538, Baghchi Allauddin.
Motia Khan	4th January to 14th January	1. Charan Singh, Motia Khan Delhi. 2. Tirlochan Singh, 10070, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
Kabir Mandi Multani Dhanda (Motia Khan)		

Particulars of corrupt and illegal practice alleged in paragraph 3 of the petition.

That the respondent No. 1 committed the corrupt practice with the help of undermentioned persons :—

Place	Date	Name of persons committing
Procession taken out from Multani Dhanda House No. 2011, Chitra Gupta Road, Pratap Street, Wazir Singh Street, Laxmi Narain Street, Tilak Street, Nalwa Street, Laxmi Narain Street, Gurwala Street, Multani Dhanda, Multani Dhanda Bazar, Bawen Quarters, Sudar Thana Road, opposite Baghchi Allauddin, Jhandewalan Road, Sadar Thana Road, and back to House No. 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.	6-1-52 12-1-52 13-1-52 14-1-52	1. Tirlochan Singh, 10047, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 2. Madan Lal, 1777, Pratap Street, Delhi. 3. Bankey Lal C/o Suraj Bhan, Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi. 4. Bohari Lal, Prem Milk Shop, Bazar Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 5. Mela Ram S/o Jai Dayal Vija, Hari Masjid, Delhi. 6. Sundar Dass, S/o Jai Dayal Vija, Hari Masjid, Delhi. 7. Manohar Lal Bedi, 10651, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi. 8. Dr. Des Raj, Nirankari Medical Hall, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi. 9. Ram Lal Bhatia, Bhatia Bag Factory, Qutab Road, Delhi. 10. Girdhari Lal Salwan, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 11. Shamsher Singh, Milk Shop, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 4 of the petition.

Liquor was freely distributed by respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents mentioned below :—

Place	Date	Who distributed
1. Dalan Basti, Kumharan, Near Chuna Mandi, Delhi.	10-1-52 13-1-52 14-1-51	1. Respondent No. 1. 2. Tirlochan Singh, 10047, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 3. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi.
2. Basti Kumharan, Near A.R.P. Quarters, Kabar Mandi, Delhi.	10-1-52 12-1-52 13-1-52 14-1-52	1. Respondent No. 1. 2. Tirlochan Singh, 10047, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 3. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi.
3. House Ch. Suraj Bhan, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi.	9-1-52 13-1-52	1. Respondent No. 1. 2. Tirlochan Singh, 10047, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 3. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi.
4. House No. 10578, Nabi Karim Quarters, Delhi.	11-1-52 13-1-52 14-1-52	1. Sarv Mittar Bajaj, Hind Leather Stores, Bara Tooti, Delhi. 2. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi. 3. Badri Nath Sahgal, 10607, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.
5. House No. 10651, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.	12-1-52 13-1-52	1. Girdhari Lal Salwan, 2011 Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 2. Manohar Lal Bedi, 10651, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi. 3. Shamsher Singh, Milk Shop, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.
6. Basti Khatikan, Gali No. 11, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.	13-1-52	Ramji Lal, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
7. Frontier Restaurant, Delhi.	13-1-52	Kukam Chand, C/o Frontier Restaurant, Chuna Mandi, Delhi.
8. Mittal Restaurant ..	13-1-52	1. Shiv Datt, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 2. Pritm Singh, Proprietor, Mittal Restaurant, Multani Dhanda Chowk, Delhi.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph No. 5 of the petition.

Money was distributed in the constituency to voters inducing them to vote for respondent No. 1, by respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents mentioned below :—

Place	Date	Who distributed
1. Baghchi Allauddin ..	14-1-52	Ch. Suraj Bhan Namberdar, Baghchi Allauddin, Delhi.
2. Nabi Karim Quarters ..	14-1-52	Sarv Mittar Bajaj, Hind Leather Stores, Bara Tooti, Delhi.
3. Katra Madan Mohan, Nalwa Street, Delhi.	14-1-52	1. Hakumati Lal, 1777, Pratap Street, Delhi. 2. Shiv Datt, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
4. Basti Kunharan, Near A.R.P. Quarters, Kabar Mandi.	14-1-52	

Particulars of corrupt and illegal practices mentioned in paras Nos. 6 to 11 of the petition:—

The respondent No. 1 and with his connivance his agents mentioned below interfered with the free exercise of the electoral right by the voters in the constituency on 14th January 1952, the date of polling, and the undermentioned persons on account of the actions and deeds of respondent No. 1 and his agents could not vote.

Agents

1. Des Raj, House No. 10550, Baghichi Allauddin.
2. Kundan Lal, House No. 10540, Baghichi Allauddin.
3. Tirlochan Singh, 10070, Multani Dhanda.
4. Hukam Chand C/o Frontier Restaurant, Chuna Mandi.
5. Malwa Ram, Coal Merchant, Phatak Misri Khan, Chuna Mandi.
6. Ram Lal Chunewala, Gurwala Street, Chuna Mandi.

Voters who could not vote

Voter No.	Name	Voter No.	Name
45571	Sunder Devi.	50380	Radhya.
45572	Dipia.	50381	Parbha Wati.
45573	Jamma.	50384	Shankar.
45831	Wiran Bal.	50385	Jewni.
45832	Ram Dovi.	50386	Gulab.
45833	Deva Bal.	50388	Lachman.
45834	Parbati.	50389	Bhuri Lal.
49734	Baraa.	50487	Kesar Singh.
49735	Mara.	50488	Karan Devi.
49736	Parshotam Dass.	50520	Harnam Singh.
49737	Dhani.	50547	Sohan Lal.
49738	Ram Dai.	50620	Gian Chand.
49739	Nanak Lal.	50621	Mrs. Gian Chand.
49740	Ganga Ram.	50655	Prabhu Daval.
50204	Amar Nath.	50622	Tarlok Singh.
50233	Jagan Nath.	60623	Mrs. Tarlok Singh.
50255	Gassi.	50722	Bharto.
50256	Moti.	50723	Ram Chand.
50257	Parbati.	50724	Basanti.
50258	Prahlad.	50724	Bharto.
50259	Bato.	50726	Natho.
50285	Hakumat Rai.	50728	Mithan.
50286	Sarla Devi.	50729	Bhai.
50349	Inder Parkash.	50730	Ram Devi.
50368	Banji.	50731	Sanda.
50369	Bhoori.	50732	Bhola.
50370	Bhapnu.	50733	Chiranji.
50371	Lal Jt.	50735	Barkar Mal.
50372	Lila.	50736	Kishan Devi.
50373	Rathan.	50737	Gordhan Lal.
50374	Dora.	50738	Harnam Kaur.
50375	Chatter.	50739	Ram Rakho.
50383	Gokul.		
40377	Kesar.		
50379	Tejan.		
44094	Ratan Lal.	44064	Chander Bhan.
44124	Baldev Raj.	44062	Sultan.
44125	Ganesh.	44140	Chote Lal.
44126	Rachpal.	44160	Hoti Lal.
44235	Dheli.	44221	Chander Singh.
44226	Gian Chand.	44225	Gian Chand.
48159	Phuli.	44229	Kalu Ram.
44161	Piare Lal.	44231	Parbhaji Ram.
44305	Nathu Ram.	44242	Mangal Ram.
44061	Chaman Lal.	44238	Ghassi.
44137	Bhuru Ram.	44277	Mohan Singh.
44138	Surji.	44287	Khem Chand.
44139	Ram Chand.	44288	Fateh Chand.
44140	Ram Piari.	43991	Nathua.
44141	Gulab.	43995	Pisori Lal.
44142	Chote Lal.	43997	Moti Gar.
44143	Rukmani.	44238	Udha Ram.
44144	Jata.	45367	Shangar Singh.
44158	Chaju.	45368	Sewa Kaur.
44145	Chotti.	45369	Jai Singh.
43915	Pokhar Mal.	45370	Amar Singh.
43916	Paltu Ram.	45420	Gas.
43918	Rameshwari.	45421	Ganga Ram.
43919	Moti Ram.	45422	Chanda.
43920	Barphu.	45423	Bhima.
43931	Musadi.	45473	Mangli.
44139	Ram Chander.	45517	Achil Ram.
44141	Gulab.	45518	Tato.
44152	Ghasita Lal.	45519	Jagan Nath.
44122	Mangal.	45555	Nanki.
44123	Banwari.	45557	Dhani.
44161	Piare Lal.	45558	Gaga.
44158	Chaji.	45559	Ram Deva.
44167	Bhareon Ram.	45560	Shanghari.
44179	Ram Chander.	45568	Sarwan.
44208	Ram Chander.	45569	Kashni.
44208	Kalu Ram.	45570	Bhooma Pershad.
44818	Bhoori.	44341	Anguri.
44571	Har Dial.	44342	Kanua.
44570	Jhamo.	44343	Kesar.

Voter No.	Name	Voter No.	Name
44792	Jhangi Ram.	44844	Lachhi.
44793	Bhato.	43991	Nathun.
44801	Jamo.	43982	Sudhia Gar.
44802	Nano.	43992	Parbati Devi.
44836	Kishan.	43993	Prem Devi.
44338	Kishori.	43994	Kashulya Devi.
44337	Tara Devi.	43995	Paras Ram.
44517	Bhero.	43993	Moti Gar.
44518	Natho.	43999	Lachhmi.
44552	Muni.	44000	Nath Ram.
44553	Ganga Sahai.	44001	Mangal Dass.
44421	Mangto.	44002	Lila Wati.
44422	Ghisa Ram.	44061	Piare Lal.
44423	Kamla.	44064	Chander Bhan.
44424	Natho.	44065	Chander Wati.
44420	Ram Bai.	44066	Ram Phal.
44912	Kalan Dass.	44122	Mangal.
44916	Lakhi.	44123	Mangi.
44940	Manohar Lal.	44192	Banwari.
44941	Shushila Devi.	44152	Ghasita Lal.
44947	Jai Devi.	44167	Bharon Ram.
44948	Durga Devi.	44180	Ram Chander.
44949	Dina Nath.	44184	Wani.
44981	Jagdish.	44192	Patho.
44982	Vidya Rani.	44207	Bhori.
45048	Bishan Devi.	44208	Kalu Ram.
45100	Harbans Lal.	44211	Tara.
44366	Shankar Lal.	44212	Nangi Bhero.
44380	Ramesh.	44221	Chander Singh.
44360	Ramesh.	44229	Kalu Ram.
44362	Kashmiri.	44228	Gopi Chand.
44370	Paro.	44242	Sharbati.
44375	Kali.	44248	Kishori Lal.
44352	Janki.	44053	Nimi.
49216	Phool Singh.	44565	Piaro Lal.
49233	Gur Pal Singh.	44566	Champa.
49276	Kani.	44567	Phumo.
49276	Hukam Chand.	44572	Kala Wati.
49277	Bhoora Ram.	44573	Santor.
49291	Ram Sahai.	44574	Babu Ram.
49305	Sundor Lal.	44558	Kala Wati.
49307	Chota Ram.	44554	Santo.
49311	Mangal Devi.	44552	Aluche.
49322	Lila Wati.	44553	Basanti.
49335	Chandor Kanta.	44523	Poro.
49452	Ram Chander.	44524	Jainti.
49496	Janak Singh.	44366	Lado.
49498	Kesar.	44725	Rasal.
49511	Bharat Singh.	44778	Kanchan.
49543	Jawala Singh.	44730	Bhoore.
49522	Budh Singh.	44778	Santo.
49553	Jamna.	44591	Ram Kumar.
49576	Asa Nand.	44590	Muri.
49582	Nanjli.	44577	Sohna.
49616	Ganga Ram.	44578	Nuratan.
59494	Nand Singh.	44579	Kalo.
59495	Ram Lal.	44583	Deva.
59496	Jaswant Kaur.	44586	Dhaya.
44805	Gopli.	44580	Sarwan.
44807	Roshan Lal.	44587	Bhooma.
44808	Par Bati.	44588	Giani.
44556	Ganeshi.	44599	Santo.
44557	Manohri.	44805	Sotia.
44558	Umrao.	44806	Nano.
44559	Badri.	44803	Kali.
44560	Kapuri.	44809	Boli.
44561	Shilla.	44810	Poona.
44562	Hardwari Lal.	44815	Sonia.
44563	Ujjal.	44616	Mohra.
44564	Kulu.	44617	Bhoora.
46233	Ghasita.	48906	Shri Ram.
46237	Ghashni.	48988	Kesar.
46252	Guru.	48933	Mangal.
46253	Dharka.	48993	Mangal.
46267	Sunda.	48998	Chuni Lal.
46267	Sundha.	48009	Chuni Lal.
46273	Sidho.	48009	Ram Piari.
46274	Obadi.	49000	Bhagwanti.
46276	Malak Dal.	49003	Jot Singh.
46277	Bani Ram.	49022	Nanga Ram.
46281	Sidho.	48023	Banjo Ram.
46297	Umraj.	49024	Gulab.
46384	Mam Chand.	49037	Ganga Ram.
46355	Jumna Devi.	49039	Phuman Devi.
46387	Santha Devi.	49042	Ghasita.
46388	Kishan Lal.	49044	Goman.
46391	Sarla Devi.	49056	Basant Ram.
46392	Inderjit.	49059	Chote Lal.
46399	Harbans Lal.	49065	Mala Ram.
46402	Bachan Kaur.	49066	Narang.
46403	Charan Singh.	49068	Meni Ram.
46445	Wiran Bal.	49072	Moti Lal.
46451	Banta Singh.	49077	Chiranji Lal.
46477	Ram Lubhaya.	49085	Bisheshwar Singh.
46494	Piare Singh.	49096	Kanshi Ram.
46495	Puru.	49109	Bhuri.
46525	Ajit Singh.	49112	Lachhmi Narain.
46525	Banta Singh.	49117	Parbhu Dayal.
48929	Kishni.	49137	Kanhayi Lal.

Voter No.	Name of Voter	Voter No.	Name of Voter
48924	Bhagwana.	49130	Basanti.
48925	Jamma.	49144	Gulab Singh.
48926	Chiranji Lal.	49153	Ganeshi Lal.
48938	Budhoo.	49154	Jotha.
48947	Basanti.	49185	Babu Ram.
48951	Bhatoo.	49208	Mool Chand.
46679	Jhaman Mal.	46936	Bhawani.
46680	Ram Piaro.	46947	Chameli.
46680	Mukat Behari Lal.	46983	Parbha Wati.
46563	Ramji Lal.	46985	Ajwan.
46641	Samrat Ram.	47001	Molla.
46898	Budha.	47003	Sarja.
46903	Nanak Chand Jamadar.	47007	Mangat Ram.
46829	Devi Ram.	47008	Chandu.
46046	Kundni.	47046	Kundli.
47043	Sohan Lal.	47055	Jam Kori.
46491	Amar Singh.	47056	Chattarji.
46445	Virendra Bai.	47057	Surji.
46792	Mohan Lal.	46571	Shanti.
46793	Ratan Ram.	46575	Mohan Lal.
46707	Dasti Devi.	46576	Balwant Ram.
46829	Devi Ram.	46577	Chanan Sukh.
46828	Devi Ram.	46578	Uttu Ram.
46842	Budha.	46580	Budhan.
46845	Birji.	46600	Lal Singh.
46847	Narsa.	46614	Shiv Pershad.
46858	Ram Kunwar.	46618	Sham Sundar.
46861	Purnia.	46624	Sewak Ram.
46863	Huttoo.	46633	Dil Bahar.
46865	Ram Ganga Ram.	46643	Bodh Raj.
46866	Ramu.	46645	Sona Ram.
46867	Bhoori.	46646	Sudri.
46872	Pirbhoo.	46750	Goran.
46875	Laddoo.	46669	Ram Pershad.
46879	Ramoo.	46674	Chedi Lal.
46890	Budhoo.	46676	Girdhari Lal.
46903	Nanak Chand.	46677	Jai Jai Ram.
46908	Bhaga.	46198	Ganpat.
46916	Chandroo.	46200	Gauri Shanker.
46927	Poona.	46201	Banarsi.
46202	Genda.	46203	Jattoo.
46203	Badri.	46232	Surja.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in para No. 12 of the petition.

The agents of respondent No. 1 with his connivance caused disturbance of peace and cut the ropes of the tents.

The following persons amongst several others on 14th January 1952 acted on behalf of Respondent No. 1 for this purpose.

1. Behari Lal, Prem Milk Shop, Bazar Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
2. Lakhpur Rai Bhatia, House No. 10742, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.
3. Sadhu Ram, Cycle Repairer, Chowk Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
4. Siya Ram, Prem Milk Shop, Bazar Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
5. Malawa Ram, Coal Merchant, Chowk Phatak Misri Khan, Delhi.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 13 of the petition.

It was on the 14th January 1952 that Respondent No. 1 with his supporters and employees of the Salwan High School in the constituency at various places has been chasing the petitioner and threatened the petitioner with injury and death.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paras Nos. 14 and 15 of the petition.

It was on 14th January 1952 that the respondent No. 1, with his connivance his men had been circulating in the constituency false and malicious reports. The undermentioned persons on the said date amongst several others acted with the connivance of respondent No. 1.

1. Tirlochan Singh, resident of House No. 10047, Multani Dhanda has been circulating false and malicious reports in whole of the constituency. There were several other persons with him.

2. Shiv Datt, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
3. Ramji Lal, 2011, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
4. Behari Dudwala, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
5. Shamsher Singh, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
6. Malawa Ram, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 16 of the petition.

The undermentioned voters were either dead or out of Delhi and were not in the constituency on 14th January 1952 and could not vote but still votes were given in the names of these voters with the connivance of respondent No. 1 and his agents and the respondent No. 1 abetted in procuring by other persons ballot papers in the names of voters who themselves were not in a position to vote. This was on the 14th January, 1952.

Voter No.	Name of Voter	Voter No.	Name of Voter
46403	Charan Singh.	46805	Sukha.
46802	Borad.	46808	Ram Kapuri.
46810	Basu Raj.	46811	Shohini.
46812	Mangai.	46806	Bholki.
46813	Jhalhari.	46815	Bhai.
46878	Chokhi.	46885	Jina.
46897	Chawli.	46901	Sarupa.
46905	Lahoria.	46907	Mopl.
46909	Nana.	46910	Kanha.
46915	Choki.	46919	Shanti.
46931	Doula.	46933	Partap.
46951	Mali.	46953	Sauri.
46903	Shisha Ram.	46904	Zoed Singh.
46999	Sita Ram.	47005	Hira.
47005	Shanti.	47006	Shanti.
46839	Bebro.	46837	Ramji Lal.
46827	Shiv Nath.	46826	Sukha.
46817	Sarupi.	46843	Choti.
45537	Ram Lal	45599	Mangli.
45600	Chumia.	44373	Muni.
45574	Jagat Singh.	44125	Ganeshi.
45606	Ram Dhan.	45873	Sunder.
44120	Achial.	8424	Aiya Devi.
50218	Faqir Chand.	50242	Jagat Singh.

Particulars of the corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 17 of the petition.

That Truck No. DLB. 1813 and Studebaker Car No. DLA. 8838 of Hakumat Lal Contractor were used on 14th January 1952 by respondent No. 1 for transporting voters from Lekar Mandi to Jhandewalan Temple and Baghchi Allauddin to Datar High School and from M.M. Road to Nirankari Crafts School.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 18 of the petition.

The respondent No. 1 with Jan Sangh and Akali Party workers has been holding public meetings near the polling stations of the constituency and amongst other Tirlochan Singh at the instance of Respondent No. 1 has been giving speeches urging voters to vote for respondent No. 1. Slogans were being called out and procession was being organised by the students of Salwan High School on the 14th January 1952 throughout the day. Deepak emblems along with "Chandi ka Joota" Board was being taken out in procession.

Particulars of corrupt practice mentioned in paragraph 19 of the petition.

Several meetings of the petitioner were disturbed by the Respondent No. 1 and with his connivance by his agents. The undermentioned agents of Respondent No. 1 along with the Jan Sangh hooligans disturbed the meetings by sending bulls, and throwing snakes and bricks over the audience in the meetings.

Place	Date	By whom committed.
1. Dankara Building	..	9-1-52
1. Malawa Ram, Coal Merchant, Phatak Miri Khan, Chuna Mandi, Delhi.		
2. Ram Lal Chunewala, Gurwala Street, Chuna Mandi, Delhi.		
3. Sohan Lal C/o Janta Boot House, Chuna Mandi, Delhi.		
2. Chowk Gali No. 6, Multani Dhanda, Delhi.	8-1-52	1. Behari Lal, Prem Milk Shop, Bazar Multani Dhanda, Delhi. 2. Siya Ram, Prem Milk Shop, Bazar Multani Dhanda, Delhi.
3. Nabi Karim Jhandewalan	11-1-52	1. Shamsher Singh, Milk Shop, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi.
4. Chara Mandi near Motia Khan, Delhi.	29-12-51	1. Shamsher Singh, Milk Shop, Jhandewalan Road, Delhi. 2. Charan Singh, Refugee Huts, Motia Khan near Thana Sadar Road, Delhi.

Statements of particulars regarding paragraphs Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 19 of the petition are true to the best of my knowledge and statements of particulars regarding paragraphs Nos. 4, 5, 16, 20 and 21 of the petition are true upon information received and believed to be correct by me.

Verified at Delhi this 24th day of April, 1952.

BACHITTAR SINGH

REGISTRAR JOINT STOCK COMPANIES

NOTIFICATIONS

Delhi, the 22nd July 1952

(Notice under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913).

In the matter of Janta Publications Ltd.

No. C/1505/J.S.C.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named Janta Publications Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

(Notice under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913).

In the matter of Krisone Agencies Ltd.

Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named Krisone Agencies Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

The 23rd July 1952

(Notice under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913).

In the matter of Maharajas Ltd.

No. C/1970/J.S.C.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named Maharajas Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

(Notice under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913).

In the matter of Hanuman Chamber of Commerce Ltd.

No. C/606/J.S.C.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named Hanuman Chamber of Commerce Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

The 25th July 1952

(Notice under Section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913).

In the matter of The Indian Farming & Allied Industries Ltd.

No. C/801/J.S.C.—Whereas there is reasonable cause to believe that the company named The Indian Farming & Allied Industries Ltd. is not carrying on business nor is in operation it is hereby notified that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof, the name of the company will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register and the company will be dissolved.

B. R. SETH,

*Registrar,
Joint Stock Companies.*

REGD. NO. D.222.